```
1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3 DEPARTMENT NO. 308 HON. CHARLES W. MC COY, JR., JUDGE
RICHARD BOEKEN, )
6)
PLAINTIFF, )
7)
VS. ) CASE NO.
8 ) BC226593
PHILIP MORRIS, )
9 )
DEFENDANT. )
10
11
12 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
13 THURSDAY, APRIL 26, 2001
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22 VOLUME 24-B.
23 PAGES 3871 THROUGH 3956.
2.4
25
26 LISA RIDLEY, CSR NO. 5886
27 CARMEN J. GARROD, CSR NO. 4009, RPR
28 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS
1 APPEARANCES:
FOR THE PLAINTIFF: LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL J. PIUZE
3 BY: MICHAEL J. PIUZE,
ATTORNEY AT LAW
4 11755 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD
SUITE 1170
5 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90025
(310) 312-1102
7 FOR THE DEFENDANT: ARNOLD & PORTER
BY: MAURICE LEITER,
8 JOHN CARLTON,
AND ADAM LE BERTHON,
9 ATTORNEYS AT LAW
777 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET
10 FORTY-FOURTH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017
11 (213) 243-4110
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19 ALSO PRESENT: MR. GOLDSTEIN
20
21
22
```

```
23
24
25
26
27
28
1 CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX
3 FOR THE DATE OF APRIL 26, 2001
5 VOLUME 24 B OF ____
6
7
8 PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES PAGE/VOLUME
9
10
11 HOFFMAN, ELIZABETH COBBS
12
13 DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D) BY MR. LEITER 3871
14 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PIUZE 3905
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
1 EXHIBITS
3 FOR THE DATE OF APRIL 26, 2001
5 VOLUME 24 B
6
7
8 EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE/VOLUME
9
10
11 (NO EXHIBITS WERE OFFERED.)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
3871
```

- 1 CASE NUMBER: BC226593
- 2 CASE NAME: BOEKEN VS. PHILIP MORRIS
- 3 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA THURSDAY, APRIL 26, 2001
- 4 DEPARTMENT NO. 308 HON. CHARLES W. MC COY, JR., JUDGE
- 5 REPORTER: CARMEN J. GARROD, CSR NO. 4009
- 6 TIME: 1:39 P.M.
- 7 (APPEARANCES AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)

- 9 THE COURT: OUR JURY PANEL IS WITH US. COUNSEL ARE
- 10 PRESENT. THE WITNESS IS ON THE STAND.
- 11 MA'AM, YOU UNDERSTAND YOU'RE STILL UNDER OATH?
- 12 THE WITNESS: YES, I DO.

- 14 ELIZABETH COBBS HOFFMAN
- 15 CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE DEFENDANT, HAVING BEEN
- 16 PREVIOUSLY SWORN, RESUMED THE STAND AND TESTIFIED FURTHER
- 17 AS FOLLOWS:
- 18 THE COURT: MR. LEITER.
- 19 MR. LEITER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

- 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)
- 22 BY MR. LEITER:
- 23 Q. GOOD AFTERNOON, DR. HOFFMAN.
- 24 A. GOOD AFTERNOON.
- 25 Q. BEFORE THE BREAK WE WERE TALKING ABOUT THE
- 26 PERIOD 1964 TO 1980, REMEMBER, AND WE WERE TALKING ABOUT
- 27 INFORMATION AVAILABLE AFTER THE '64 SURGEON GENERAL'S
- 28 REPORT ABOUT THE EFFECTS OF SMOKING, ABOUT THE GENERAL 3872
- 1 SOURCES.
- 2 WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO -- STILL IN 1964 TO '80
- 3 PERIOD -- IS TO TALK A LITTLE MORE ABOUT ORGANIZATIONS THAT
- 4 WERE ASSISTING PEOPLE IN QUITTING SMOKING.
- 5 YOU MENTIONED THAT BRIEFLY. COULD YOU EXPLAIN
- 6 TO THE JURY A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT THE KINDS OF
- 7 ORGANIZATIONS THERE WERE DURING THIS PERIOD, AND THE KIND
- 8 OF WORK THAT THEY DID?
- 9 A. WELL, THERE WERE BOTH COMMERCIAL AND NONPROFIT
- 10 AND GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS THAT WERE TRYING TO HELP
- 11 PEOPLE STOP SMOKING. AT THE GOVERNMENTAL LEVEL THERE IS --
- 12 AS I MENTIONED, THERE WAS A PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT
- 13 PROGRAM, LIKE HERE THAT LOS ANGELES STARTED, FREE CLINICS
- 14 FOR PEOPLE.
- 15 THERE WERE ALSO PROGRAMS SPONSORED BY GROUPS
- 16 LIKE THE AMERICAN CANCER SOCIETY AND THE SEVENTH DAY
- 17 ADVENTISTS, THAT WERE DESIGNED TO DO THIS -- TO GIVE YOU AN
- 18 EXAMPLE OF SOMETHING WHICH I'LL PROBABLY GET A LITTLE
- 19 CHANCE TO TALK MORE ABOUT LATER -- THE AMERICAN CANCER
- 20 SOCIETY HAD THE GREAT AMERICAN SMOKEOUT WHICH WAS STARTED
- 21 HERE IN CALIFORNIA, AND THE SEVENTH DAY ADVENTISTS WORKED
- 22 WITH THEM AND THE COUNTY OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ALL THE OTHER
- 23 GROUPS THAT WERE OFFERING CLINICS TO MAKE SURE THAT NO ONE 24 IN LOS ANGELES HAD TO DRIVE MORE THAN 20 MINUTES TO GET TO
- 25 A CLINIC, A FREE CLINIC.
- 26 AND I THINK THAT WE ALL KNOW THAT DRIVING
- 27 ANYWHERE IN 20 MINUTES IN L.A. DOESN'T GET YOU NECESSARILY
- 28 VERY FAR. THERE WERE A LOT OF THINGS THAT WERE DONE.
- 3873
- 1 AND THEN THERE WERE A LOT OF PRIVATE
- 2 ORGANIZATIONS THAT I THINK ACTUALLY MADE A GOOD LIVING
- 3 HAVING THESE KINDS OF CLINICS. AND THE MOST FAMILIAR ONES,
- 4 TO ME, AT LEAST, WERE THE SCHICK PROGRAM, WHICH I THINK ARE
- 5 THE SAME AS THE RAZOR BLADE PEOPLE. AND THEN THERE WERE

- 6 JUST A NUMBER OF HOST OF OTHERS THAT ADVERTISED THEIR
- 7 SERVICES AND HAD -- A LOT OF PEOPLE PARTICIPATED IN THEM.
- 8 Q. AND THESE CLINICS RECEIVED A FAIR AMOUNT OF
- 9 PUBLICITY DURING THE PERIOD?
- 10 A. YES, THEY DID. THE NEWSPAPERS REPORTED ON
- 11 THEM A LOT.
- 12 Q. I'LL PUT UP NUMBER 23, WHICH IS 11,020.
- 13 WHAT'S THAT?
- 14 A. THESE ARE EXCERPTS FROM THE LOS ANGELES TIMES
- 15 THAT SHOW YOU A FEW OF THEM. YOU NOTICE -- BY THE WAY, THE
- 16 ONE IN THE MIDDLE TALKS ABOUT THE ADVENTISTS AND THEIR
- 17 WORK, WHICH REALLY WAS -- AGAIN, I WANT TO EMPHASIZE --
- 18 VERY AMAZING IN TERMS OF WHAT THEY WERE ACCOMPLISHING.
- 19 AND THEN THEY HAVE ONE ON THE RIGHT WHICH
- 20 SHOWS YOU THE Y.M.C.A. OFFERED A SMOKING CLINIC. THE ONE
- 21 ON THE LEFT AGAIN EMPHASIZES THAT THIS IS FREE.
- 22 THE COUNTY OF L.A. HAS THE ONE ON THE BOTTOM.
- 23 SO IT WAS REALLY A TREMENDOUS EFFORT. THIS WAS A PART OF
- 24 THE REMEDIAL ACTION ASPECT OF THINGS TO REALLY TELL PEOPLE
- 25 WE WANT TO HELP YOU, YOU CAN DO IT.
- 26 AND THEN, OF COURSE, THERE WERE ALSO
- 27 COMMERCIAL ANTI-SMOKING STUFF, ADS FOR ALL KINDS OF
- 28 THINGS -- CHEWING GUM, BANTRON -- DIFFERENT THINGS THAT 3874
- 1 PEOPLE THOUGHT YOU COULD USE TO QUIT SMOKING, AS WELL.
- 2 Q. NOW, YOU MENTIONED AT THE VERY BEGINNING WHEN
- 3 WE STARTED TALKING ABOUT THIS SECTION -- AND YOU REFERRED
- 4 TO 1964 TO '80 AS THE PERIOD WHERE REMEDIAL ACTION WAS
- 5 TAKEN.
- 6 AND AMONG THE HIGHLIGHTS OF THAT PERIOD THAT
- 7 YOU REFERRED TO, OR ONE OF THE IMPORTANT THEMES OF THE
- 8 PERIOD -- PROBABLY BETTER PUT -- WAS THE BEGINNING OF THE
- 9 CONSUMER MOVEMENT, THE ENVIRONMENTAL MOVEMENT.
- 10 WHY IS THAT SIGNIFICANT?
- 11 A. WELL, THAT'S SIGNIFICANT FOR A NUMBER OF
- 12 REASONS. IT CHANGES HOW PEOPLE FEEL, IN GENERAL, ABOUT THE
- 13 INDUSTRY. AND IT'S ALSO THE BEGINNING OF THIS NOTION THAT
- 14 THE INDUSTRY SHOULD HAVE WARNING LABELS AND THIS KIND OF
- 15 THING. AND THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, WAS
- 16 THE FIRST INDUSTRY TO BE ASKED TO PUT -- THESE KIND OF
- 17 WARNING LABELS TO BE PUT ON, WHICH NOW HAS BECOME SO
- 18 COMMON -- I WAS THINKING ABOUT IT THE OTHER DAY, THINKING
- 19 DOES EVERYTHING HAVE A WARNING LABEL ON IT?
- 20 I LOOKED AT A BOTTLE OF WATER, WHICH SAID
- 21 SOMETHING ABOUT BE CAREFUL WHEN YOU REMOVE THE TOP OR
- 22 SOMETHING. IT'S REALLY THE START OF THAT.
- 23 AND ALSO, THERE IS A PERIOD IN WHICH THERE IS
- 24 A SEVERE CRITIQUE THAT BEGINS TO BE MADE ABOUT CORPORATIONS
- 25 IN AMERICA, PEOPLE LIKE RALPH NADER, PARTICULARLY, LEADING
- 26 THE WAY. AND HE ALSO, BY THE WAY, TOOK A STAND -- HE WAS
- 27 VERY FAMOUS, BY THE WAY, FOR CARS. HE WROTE THIS BOOK
- 28 CALLED "UNSAFE AT ANY SPEED." IT WAS ABOUT HOW THE CARS IN 3875
- 1 DETROIT WERE MADE IN SUCH A WAY YOU COULD GET KILLED REALLY
- 2 EASILY. SO THAT'S WHEN THEY CAME OUT WITH SAFETY BELTS.
- 3 BUT HE ALSO TARGETED THE CIGARETTE INDUSTRY
- 4 AND PARTICULARLY WANTED TO MAKE BANS ON THE AIRLINES, NO
- 5 SMOKING ON AIRLINES.
- 6 O. LONG BEFORE RALPH NADER RAN FOR PRESIDENT HE
- 7 BECAME WELL KNOWN BY BEING ONE OF THE PIONEERS OF THE
- 8 CONSUMER RIGHTS MOVEMENTS BACK IN 1960?
- 9 A. THAT'S RIGHT. HE WAS THE MOST EMINENT PERSON
- 10 DOING THAT THEN.

- 11 Q. AND AS PART OF THESE MOVEMENTS, AS YOU
- 12 MENTIONED, THERE WAS SOMETHING OF A SHIFT IN HOW PEOPLE
- 13 FELT ABOUT CORPORATIONS?
- 14 A. YES, THERE WAS.
- 15 AND AGAIN, THIS IS SOMETHING THAT YOU REALLY
- 16 SEE IN THE '60S, IN GENERAL. WE MOVE AWAY FROM THIS KIND
- 17 OF EISENHOWER PERIOD, WHAT'S GOOD FOR GENERAL MOTORS IS
- 18 GOOD FOR YOU.
- 19 IN FACT, SPEAKING OF GENERAL MOTORS, RALPH
- 20 NADER IS ONE OF THE PEOPLE THAT SAID, NO, GENERAL MOTORS
- 21 MAKES CARS THAT ARE TERRIBLY UNSAFE. SO THIS IS A PERIOD
- 22 IN WHICH CORPORATIONS BEGIN TO GET -- IT'S NOT AN ALL-OUT
- 23 ATTACK. THERE IS DEFINITELY A CHANGE IN THE NATIONAL MOOD
- 24 TOWARDS LESS RESPECT, LESS DEFERENCE FOR CORPORATIONS, AND
- 25 YOU BEGIN TO SEE THESE PEOPLE EMERGING WHO ARE REAL
- 26 IMPORTANT SPEAKERS FOR THIS POINT OF VIEW.
- 27 Q. NOW, LET'S TALK FOR A COUPLE OF MINUTES ABOUT
- 28 HOW THE POSITION OF THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY WAS COVERED BY THE 3876
- 1 MEDIA AND POPLAR CULTURE DURING 1964 TO 1980.
- 2 YOU MENTIONED THIS MORNING THAT DURING THIS
- 3 PERIOD THERE WAS A DOWNPOUR, I THINK WAS THE WORD YOU USED,
- 4 OF INFORMATION ABOUT THE HEALTH RISKS DURING THIS PERIOD.
- 5 IS THAT RIGHT?
- 6 A. YES.
- 7 Q. WAS THERE ALSO A DOWNPOUR OF MEDIA ATTENTION
- 8 OR OTHER ATTENTION ON THE POSITION THAT THE TOBACCO
- 9 COMPANIES TOOK?
- 10 A. NO, THERE WAS NOT.
- 11 Q. CAN YOU GIVE US SOME COMPARISON ABOUT EVEN
- 12 AMOUNT OF COVERAGE, GREATER, LESSER? CAN YOU GIVE US A
- 13 LITTLE BIT MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THE COMPARISON?
- 14 A. I THINK YOU ASKED ME EARLIER WHAT WORD COULD
- 15 YOU USE TO DESCRIBE A MOUNT OF INFORMATION? I SAID
- 16 GIGANTIC.
- 17 AND I THINK THAT THE CORRESPONDING WORD WOULD
- 18 BE TINY, THAT THERE WAS A TINY AMOUNT OF INFORMATION ABOUT
- 19 WHAT THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY THOUGHT WHAT ITS POSITIONS WERE.
- 20 IT WAS THERE, BUT IT WAS A VERY, VERY SMALL AMOUNT
- 21 COMPARATIVELY.
- 22 Q. EARLIER ON WHEN WE WERE TALKING ABOUT THIS
- 23 PERIOD YOU CHARACTERIZED IT AS THE PERIOD WHERE THE DEBATE
- 24 WAS CLOSED?
- 25 A. EFFECTIVELY CLOSED.
- 26 Q. AS THE JURY HAS HEARD, THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY
- 27 CONTINUED TO DEBATE AFTER 1964?
- 28 A. THAT'S RIGHT.
- 3877
- 1 Q. AS YOU JUST EXPLAINED, THE VOLUME OF ATTENTION
- 2 THAT THEY GOT WAS JUST A TINY FRACTION OF WHAT WE SAW ABOUT
- 3 THE HEALTH RISKS OF SMOKING AND EFFORTS TO QUIT FROM A
- 4 VARIETY OF DIFFERENT SOURCES; IS THAT RIGHT?
- 5 A. THAT'S RIGHT.
- 6 Q. AS THIS PERIOD MOVED FORWARD FROM 1964 TO
- 7 1980, DID YOU NOTICE A CHANGE IN THE TONE OF THE COVERAGE
- 8 OF THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY'S POSITION TO THE EXTENT IT WAS
- 9 COVERED?
- 10 A. YES. I THINK THIS IS REFLECTED IN A NUMBER OF
- 11 WAYS.
- 12 AS I WAS SAYING, YOU GET A LOT OF PEOPLE
- 13 REALLY TAKING ON THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY IN A VERY VEHEMENT
- 14 WAY, SO THE TONE BEGINS TO SHIFT A BIT. THERE IS SOME
- 15 PEOPLE WHO ARE WELL KNOWN AT THAT TIME -- A WOMAN NAMED

- 16 MAUREEN NEWBERGER (PHONETIC), WHO WAS A SENATOR FROM
- 17 OREGON, AND SHE WAS A GREAT OPPONENT OF THE TOBACCO
- 18 INDUSTRY. AND SHE WROTE A BOOK AND IT WENT THROUGH TWO
- 19 PRINTINGS IN ONE YEAR.
- 20 PEOPLE LIKE ROBERT KENNEDY BEFORE -- THE YEAR
- 21 BEFORE HIS DEATH -- SORT OF FOLLOWING IN HIS BROTHER'S
- 22 FOOTSTEPS IN THIS RESPECT WITH THE FIRST SURGEON GENERAL'S
- 23 REPORT -- WAS SOMEONE WHO CAME OUT AND WAS VERY CRITICAL OF
- 24 THE INDUSTRY.
- 25 YOU START MOVING AWAY FROM "IT'S BAD FOR YOU,
- 26 IT'S BAD FOR YOU, IT'S BAD FOR YOU, " TO "WE DON'T LIKE
- 27 THESE PEOPLE WHO ARE SELLING IT." IT'S MIXED. THIS IS
- 28 MORE OF A MIXED BAG FROM '64 TO '80, WHERE THERE IS SOME 3878
- 1 MORE NEUTRAL KIND OF BLAND COVERAGE OF THEIR POSITION, BUT
- 2 MIXED IN WITH A LOT OF HOSTILITY IN SOME QUARTERS.
- 3 Q. AND YOU BELIEVE THAT TO BE A SHIFT FROM THAT
- 4 FIRST PERIOD YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT, 1950 TO '64?
- 5 A. YES, IT'S A DEFINITE SHIFT. IT'S A DEFINITE
- 6 SHIFT.
- 7 YOU SEE NOT ONLY IS THE REPORTAGE -- THERE IS
- 8 NOT VERY MUCH REPORTAGE, BUT IT'S USUALLY FLANKED. LIKE IF
- 9 THEY SAY, HERE IS WHAT THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY SAYS ABOUT IT,
- 10 IT'S FLANKED BY INCHES OF COLUMNS SAYING -- AND THIS IS
- 11 REALLY, REALLY BAD FOR -- AND THEY SAY THIS, BUT WE ALL
- 12 KNOW, BLAH, BLAH, BLAH. SO IT'S IN BETWEEN. IT'S
- 13 SANDWICHED BETWEEN OTHER SORTS OF HOSTILE THINGS.
- 13 SANDWICHED BEIWEEN OTHER SORTS OF HOSTILE THING
- 14 THAT'S TRUE OF THE TELEVISION COVERAGE, TOO.
- 15 WHEREAS EARLIER ON, LIKE THERE WAS A HARRY REASONER REPORT
- 16 TWO YEARS BEFORE THE ONE YOU JUST SAW A CLIP OF, AND IN
- 17 THAT HARRY REASONER REPORT THEY INTERVIEWED SOME TOBACCO
- 18 FARMERS. THEY INTERVIEWED SOME PEOPLE FROM THE INDUSTRY,
- 19 AND A SORT OF A SYMPATHETIC SIDE OF THEIR DILEMMA COMES
- 20 OUT. AND IT'S ACTUALLY VERY POIGNANT.
- 21 THESE FARMERS SAY, "WE DON'T MEAN TO HURT
- 22 ANYONE." YOU DON'T GET ANYTHING LIKE THAT LATER WHERE IT'S
- 23 SET UP IN SUCH A WAY THAT THE VIEWER MIGHT COME AWAY
- 24 FEELING LIKE THESE ARE PEOPLE LIKE ME.
- 25 Q. BY AND LARGE, TO THE EXTENT THE TOBACCO
- 26 INDUSTRY'S POSITION IS COVERED AT ALL, THEIR INFORMATION
- 27 ABOUT THE HEALTH RISK AT THE BEGINNING OF THE ARTICLE AND
- 28 THROUGH MOST OF IT, A COMMENT BY THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY, AND 3879
- 1 THEN MORE INFORMATION ABOUT HEALTH RISKS; IS THAT WHAT
- 2 YOU'RE SAYING?
- 3 A. YES, THAT'S FAR AND AWAY THE PREPONDERANT WAY
- 4 IN WHICH IT'S COVERED. THERE IS A COUPLE OF EXCEPTIONS
- 5 WHERE THEY SOMETIMES GO BACK ON THE INDUSTRY'S POSITION ON
- 6 SOMETHING. BUT IT'S VERY, VERY INFREQUENT.
- 7 Q. SO THERE ARE THE OCCASIONAL ARTICLES THAT
- 8 HIGHLIGHT THE INDUSTRY'S POSITION, BUT NOT MANY?
- 9 A. HOW MANY?
- 10 Q. NOT MANY.
- 11 A. SORRY. I COULDN'T HEAR OVER THAT.
- 12 NO, NOT MANY AT ALL.
- 13 Q. LET'S MOVE TO THE LAST PERIOD THAT WE'RE GOING
- 14 TO TALK ABOUT, 1980 TO 1994.
- 15 HOW WOULD YOU CHARACTERIZE THIS PERIOD?
- 16 A. I CALL THIS PERIOD TOWARDS THE SMOKE-FREE
- 17 SOCIETY. AND THIS IS THE PERIOD THAT I HONESTLY THINK WE
- 18 LIVE IN NOW, BUT IT BEGINS IN LATE 1980, ROUGHLY. AND I'M
- 19 CHOPPING IT OFF AT '94 FOR THE PURPOSES OF OUR DISCUSSIONS
- 20 CONCERNING MR. BOEKEN'S EXPERIENCE.

- 21 Q. AND WHAT MAKES THIS PERIOD, 1980 TO 1994,
- 22 DIFFERENT FROM '64 TO '80? WHAT ARE THE MAJOR THEMES?
- 23 A. WELL, I THINK THAT WHAT YOU SEE HERE -- IT'S A
- 24 VERY DIFFERENT SOCIETY. IT'S THE ONE THAT WE'RE GOING TO
- 25 RECOGNIZE THE MOST. THIS IS -- I CHOOSE 1980 A LITTLE
- 26 ARBITRARILY -- YOU HAVE TO DECIDE WHAT IS EXACTLY THE
- 27 TURNING POINT.
- 28 IT STARTS TO TURN BEFORE IT ACTUALLY TURNS ALL 3880
- 1 THE WAY. 1980 IS WHEN RONALD REAGAN IS ELECTED TO OFFICE.
- 2 IT'S A CONSERVATIVE PERIOD. SOME HISTORIANS CALL THIS THE
- 3 REAGAN ERA. AND YOU COME INTO A MUCH MORE CONSERVATIVE
- 4 SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT.
- 5 HOW THAT CHANGES THINGS, FIRST OF ALL, THAT
- 6 THERE IS SORT OF A ZERO TOLERANCE ATTITUDE TOWARDS QUITE A
- 7 NUMBER OF THINGS, ACTUALLY -- ZERO TOLERANCE OF DRUGS --
- 8 AND NANCY REAGAN'S PROGRAM, WHICH IS "JUST SAY NO."
- 9 YOU REMEMBER THAT, SAY NO TO ALL KINDS OF
- 10 THINGS THAT ARE BAD FOR YOU IN PEOPLE'S LIVES. THE FOCUS
- 11 WAS DRUGS, BUT THIS ALSO RELATED TO TEENAGE PREGNANCY AND
- 12 THINGS LIKE THAT.
- 13 SO YOU MOVE TOWARDS A MORE CONSERVATIVE SOCIAL
- 14 PERIOD. THERE IS NOT THIS SORT OF EMPHASIS ON LIFESTYLE
- 15 CHOICES. THERE ARE NOT SOME CHOICES, THERE ARE GOOD
- 16 CHOICES AND THERE ARE BAD CHOICES. AND IF YOU MAKE THE BAD
- 17 CHOICE THERE IS A WAY IN WHICH YOU'RE A BAD PERSON, TOO.
- 18 I THINK THAT'S THE TENOR OF THE TIMES. THAT'S
- 19 ONE IMPORTANT DIFFERENCE, THE CONSERVATIVE CLIMATE THAT
- 20 ARISES. AND HOW THAT RELATES TO SMOKING IS THAT SMOKERS
- 21 GET INCREASINGLY TARGETED THEMSELVES. THIS IS WHERE YOU
- 22 SEE THE CULMINATION, THE FRUITION OF THE ECOLOGY,
- 23 ECOLOGY-TYPE MOVEMENTS, WHERE YOU BEGIN TO GET REALLY
- 24 SIGNIFICANT LAWS LIMITING PEOPLE SMOKING. YOU KNOW,
- 25 ESPECIALLY SMOKERS LIMITING SMOKING AROUND NONSMOKERS.
- 26 YOU GET A LOT OF LAWS THAT GET PASSED IN THIS
- 27 PERIOD OF TIME. SO SMOKERS BECOME KIND OF PARIAHS --
- 28 THAT'S A WORD FROM THE L.A. TIMES -- UNTOUCHABLES, LIKE IN 3881
- 1 INDIA, AND ALSO KIND OF DEVIANT. AGAIN, THERE IS NOT THIS
- 2 SORT OF NOTION, I MAY NOT APPROVE, BUT YOU'RE AN ADULT, YOU
- 3 CAN MAKE YOUR CHOICES. IF YOU MAKE THE WRONG CHOICE,
- 4 YOU'RE A DEVIANT PERSON.
- 5 Q. IT'S NOW SEEN AS WRONG AS OPPOSED TO A CHOICE
- 6 THAT PEOPLE MAKE, UNDERSTANDING THE RISKS?
- 7 A. YES. IT'S NOT LIKE A GOOD CHOICE VERSUS THE
- 8 BAD CHOICE; IT'S THE WRONG CHOICE. AND ALSO THIS HAPPENS
- 9 IN RELATION TO THE INDUSTRY, TOO, WHICH I THINK IS AN
- 10 IMPORTANT PART OF THIS PERIOD.
- 11 O. IN WHAT WAY?
- 12 A. WELL, BECAUSE IN THE '50S YOU SAW HERE MANY
- 13 INDUSTRIES, AND INDUSTRY IS GOOD FOR AMERICA, AND THIS IS
- 14 WHAT THEY SAY. AND THEN IN THE '60S YOU GET THIS SORT OF
- 15 MORE MIXED FEELING ABOUT INDUSTRIES, AND THERE ARE LOTS OF
- 16 OPPONENTS OF SMOKING WHO SORT OF ARISE.
- 17 AND THEN BY THE PERIOD AFTER 1980, THIS
- 18 INDUSTRY BECOMES LOOKED AT AS A DEVIANT INDUSTRY, A PARIAH
- 19 INDUSTRY.
- 20 IT'S NOT JUST ONE AMONG MANY INDUSTRIES THAT
- 21 PEOPLE JUDGE. AND I THINK PART OF THIS IS VERY CLEARLY A
- 22 PART OF THE SMOKING CESSATION STRATEGY, BY THE WAY, BECAUSE
- 23 SMOKING CESSATION STRATEGIES HAD MOVED A LONG WAYS SINCE
- 24 THE EARLY '60S.
- 25 IN THE EARLY '60S THE IDEA WAS THAT IF YOU

- 26 TELL PEOPLE THAT SOMETHING'S BAD FOR THEM, THEY WON'T DO
- 27 IT. THEN THEY FIGURED OUT THAT WASN'T GOOD ENOUGH. SOME
- 28 PEOPLE, SUPERRATIONAL TYPES, OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, SAID, 3882
- 1 OKAY, I WON'T DO IT, IT'S BAD FOR ME.
- 2 THEN THEY MOVED IN THE MID '60S TOWARDS YOU'VE
- 3 GOT TO MAKE IT UNCOOL. YOU'VE GOT TO MAKE IT SOCIALLY
- 4 UNACCEPTABLE. AND SO THERE WERE A LOT OF STRATEGIES --
- 5 THE AMERICAN CANCER SOCIETY HAD ADS ABOUT YOUR BREATH
- 6 SMELLS, YOU'RE STINKY, IF YOU'RE SLEEPING BEAUTY AND YOU'RE
- 7 ASLEEP, THE PRINCE WON'T WANT TO KISS YOU AND WAKE YOU UP,
- 8 A LOT OF HUMOROUS THINGS LIKE THAT.
- 9 BUT THAT CHANGED AGAIN, AND BY THE BEGINNING
- 10 OF THE '80S, MOVING INTO THE LATE '80S, EARLY '90S, YOU GET
- 11 A REAL CLEAR STRATEGY THAT IF WE CAN MAKE PEOPLE DISLIKE
- 12 THE INDUSTRY THAT WILL ALSO HELP THEM QUIT, BECAUSE THEY
- 13 WILL FEEL THESE ARE BAD PEOPLE TAKING ADVANTAGE OF THEM.
- 14 Q. PART IS THE TARGETING OF THE INDUSTRY AND
- 15 PART IS THE ANTI-SMOKING CAMPAIGN TO CONVINCE PEOPLE NOT TO
- 16 SMOKE, FAIR ENOUGH?
- 17 A. YEAH, THAT'S FAIR ENOUGH.
- 18 Q. AND HELPED ALONG, I ASSUME, BY THE INDUSTRY
- 19 CONTINUING TO ISOLATE BY THE POSITION IT WAS TAKING?
- 20 A. I THINK THAT'S VERY FAIR TO SAY ALSO.
- 21 Q. LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT A COUPLE OF EXAMPLES OF
- 22 HOW THIS PERIOD CHANGED.
- 23 WE HAVE A CLIP FROM JOSEPH CALIFANO, WHICH IS
- 24 JUST A LITTLE BIT BEFORE THIS PERIOD, BEGINNING IN 1978.
- 25 IF YOU COULD EXPLAIN WHAT THAT CLIP IS AND WHY IT'S
- 26 SIGNIFICANT.
- 27 A. JOSEPH CALIFANO WAS THE SECRETARY OF HEALTH,
- 28 EDUCATION, AND WELFARE. HE REALLY COMES OUT OF THE '60S. 3883
- 1 I TALKED ABOUT GOVERNMENT REFORMISM FROM THE
- 2 '60S, AND HE WAS AN IMPORTANT FIGURE IN THE WAR ON POVERTY
- 3 UNDER PRESIDENT JOHNSON. SO HE WAS AN ACTIVIST WITH AN
- 4 ACTIVIST ORIENTATION. AND THEN HE BECAME SECRETARY OF
- 5 HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE UNDER JIMMY CARTER. HE HAD
- 6 BEEN A SMOKER, AND HE STOPPED SMOKING.
- 7 THIS IS VERY IMPORTANT. ESSENTIALLY WHAT HE
- 8 DOES, HE TALKS ABOUT SMOKING AS PUBLIC ENEMY NUMBER 1. AND
- 9 SO SUDDENLY, YOU SEE THAT SHIFT OUT OF I MIGHT SMOKE, I
- 10 MIGHT NOT SMOKE, TO THIS IS LIKE AL CAPONE. THIS IS THE
- 11 BAD GANGSTERS.
- 12 Q. LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT THE CLIP.
- 13 (A VIDEOTAPE WAS PLAYED AND NOT REPORTED
- 14 PER STIPULATION OF COUNSEL.)
- 15 Q. BY MR. LEITER: AGAIN, THE QUALITY OF THE
- 16 SOUND WAS NOT GOOD.
- 17 THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY SUPPORTED PRICES TO
- 18 FARMERS?
- 19 A. YES.
- 20 Q. THIS IS WHERE CALIFANO REFERRED TO SMOKING AS
- 21 "PUBLIC ENEMY NO. 1"?
- 22 A. THAT'S RIGHT. THOSE ARE PROGRAMS THAT WERE
- 23 IMPLEMENTED. MOST OF THESE PROGRAMS, WE WANTED THIS
- 24 LABELING TO HAPPEN. WE WANTED ADVERTISING OFF THE AIRWAYS.
- 25 WE WANTED MORE MONEY SPENT ON THIS. THOSE THINGS ALL GET
- 26 DONE.
- 27 Q. DURING THIS PERIOD -- AND I DO WANT TO TALK A
- 28 LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT REGULATIONS ISOLATING SMOKERS AND
- 1 TARGETING THE INDUSTRY.

- 2 DURING THIS PERIOD IS THERE STILL A LOT OF
- 3 INFORMATION BEING MADE AVAILABLE TO PEOPLE ABOUT HEALTH
- 4 RISKS AND A LOT OF OFFERS BEING MADE TO HELP PEOPLE QUIT?
- 5 THAT DOESN'T TRAIL OFF WHEN WE GET TO 1980, DOES IT?
- 6 A. NOT AT ALL. THERE ARE HUNDREDS AND HUNDREDS
- 7 AND HUNDREDS OF ARTICLES FROM THE SAME PERIOD OF TIME.
- 8 PEOPLE HERE AGAIN, IN ALL DIFFERENT KINDS OF WAYS, LIKE
- 9 DEAR ABBY, SHE'LL TELL YOU ABOUT THE GREAT AMERICAN
- 10 SMOKEOUT. SHE'LL TELL YOU TO MAKE THIS YOUR NEW YEAR'S
- 11 RESOLUTION. SHE DOES IT EVERY YEAR.
- 12 DOCTORS' COLUMNS. AND THERE IS STILL MORE
- 13 RESEARCH THAT'S BEING DONE, SO THAT PEOPLE COME TO
- 14 UNDERSTAND -- SCIENTISTS DO -- BETTER THE MECHANICS OF HOW
- 15 IT ALL WORKS. SO EVERY TIME MORE DISCOVERIES ARE MADE
- 16 PEOPLE ARE HEARING ABOUT THOSE.
- 17 Q. LET'S TALK FOR A SECOND ABOUT THE GREAT
- 18 AMERICAN SMOKEOUT. WHAT IS THE GREAT AMERICAN SMOKEOUT?
- 19 A. WELL, THIS WAS A VERY EFFECTIVE PUBLICITY
- 20 PROGRAM, A WAY TO KIND OF JUMP-START PEOPLE TO NOT SMOKE.
- 21 AND IT ACTUALLY STARTED IN CALIFORNIA IN 1976,
- 22 AND THEY ALWAYS USED CELEBRITIES AS THE CHAIRMAN FOR IT.
- 23 SAMMY DAVIS, JR., WAS THE VERY FIRST ONE IN
- 24 CALIFORNIA. AND THEN WHAT HAPPENED, THE NEXT YEAR IT WAS
- 25 SO SUCCESSFUL THAT THE AMERICAN CANCER SOCIETY DECIDED TO
- 26 MAKE THIS A NATIONAL PROGRAM, WHICH THEY DO EVERY YEAR THE
- 27 WEEK BEFORE THANKSGIVING. AND IT -- THESE ARE TINY, TINY
- 28 SAMPLES OF ALL THE STUFF -- I COULD GIVE YOU HUNDREDS OF 3885
- 1 ARTICLES ON THE GREAT AMERICAN SMOKEOUT.
- 2 SO IT WAS A VERY IMPORTANT WAY THAT THE
- 3 MESSAGE GOT ACROSS. AND IF YOU'LL JUST NOT SMOKE FOR ONE
- 4 DAY, THAT MAYBE YOU'LL THEN NOT SMOKE THE NEXT DAY AND THE
- 5 NEXT DAY, AND THEY USE ALL THE FAMOUS STARS OF THE PERIOD.
- 6 Q. ONE OF THE STARS THAT I SEE YOU BROUGHT A CLIP
- 7 FROM IS LARRY HAGMAN FROM 1981. THIS IS THE PERIOD WHERE
- 8 THE T.V. SHOW "DALLAS" WAS ON?
- 9 A. THAT'S RIGHT. THERE AGAIN, THERE WERE DOZENS
- 10 OF THESE. I LIKE THIS PARTICULAR ONE. IT'S KIND OF
- 11 INTERESTING. YOU SEE HIM IN KIND OF HIS ROLE AS
- 12 J.R. EWING. AT THAT TIME THAT WAS A BIG DEAL. THEY WERE
- 13 VERY CLEVER AT PICKING PEOPLE WHO WOULD HAVE A LOT OF NAME
- 14 RECOGNITION AND WHO THEY COULD DO SOME FUNNY SPIN ON THE
- 15 ROLES THEY WERE PLAYING ON T.V. AND MOVIES.
- 16 Q. LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT THAT. IT'S 2.10.
- 17 (A VIDEOTAPE WAS PLAYED AND NOT REPORTED
- 18 PER STIPULATION OF COUNSEL.)
- 19 Q. BY MR. LEITER: AND THERE WERE DIFFERENT ADS
- 20 FROM DIFFERENT CELEBRITIES IN DIFFERENT YEARS?
- 21 A. LOTS OF GREAT CELEBRITIES -- ED ASNER, A
- 22 DANCER BY THE NAME OF YOLA, YUL BRYNNER, WILLIAM TOMLIN,
- 23 WHO -- HE WAS THE GUY WHO PLAYED THE PART IN PERRY MASON.
- 24 HE DIED OF LUNG CANCER. HE MADE A MOVING STATEMENT: "BY
- 25 THE TIME YOU SEE THIS I'LL BE DEAD."
- 26 Q. LET'S CHANGE GEARS JUST BRIEFLY AND TALK ABOUT
- 27 THE RISE OF THE ANTI-SMOKING LAWS AND REGULATIONS,
- 28 PARTICULARLY IN CALIFORNIA.
- 3886
- 1 IS THIS SOMETHING THAT REALLY BLOSSOMED DURING
- 2 THE 1980S?
- 3 A. IT STARTS REALLY IN THE '70S, BECOMES IRONCLAD
- 4 IN THE '80S AND '90S, IN PARTICULAR.
- 5 CALIFORNIA LEADS THE WAY BY A LONG HEAD
- 6 START. CALIFORNIA HAS ALMOST HALF OF ALL THE LOCAL

- 7 ANTI-SMOKING ORDINANCES.
- 8 L.A. STARTS, IN 1975 -- FOR EXAMPLE, TOM
- 9 BRADLEY, MAYOR, WAS A NONSMOKER. BUT THE CITY COUNCIL PUT
- 10 THIS UP. SAN DIEGO DID IT. ALL THROUGHOUT CALIFORNIA IN
- 11 THE MID '70S, IN THE '80S.
- 12 AS I SAID, IT BECOMES THE CASE THAT YOU CANNOT
- 13 SMOKE INSIDE BUILDINGS. CALIFORNIA PASSES A LAW THAT
- 14 ULTIMATELY BY THE MID '90S TAKES ALL THE STATE LOCAL
- 15 ORDINANCES AND PREEMPTS THEM FOR AN EVEN STRONGER STATEWIDE
- 16 ONE.
- 17 Q. TELL US ABOUT PROP 99?
- 18 A. PROPOSITION 99 IS VERY INTERESTING IN SOME
- 19 WAYS. IT'S THE VERY CULMINATION OF THIS WHOLE PERIOD, THE
- 20 WHOLE 40, 50 YEARS OF PEOPLE HEARING "IT'S NOT GOOD FOR
- 21 YOU."
- 22 CALIFORNIA PASSED A LAW -- WENT TO THE POLLS
- 23 AND PASSED THIS LAW IN 1988. BUT IT'S CALLED PROPOSITION
- 24 99. WHAT THAT DID IS IT PUT A REALLY BIG TAX ON
- 25 CIGARETTES, 25 CENTS A PACK. AND THIS ENDED UP GENERATING
- 26 MILLIONS AND MILLIONS OF DOLLARS EVERY YEAR, HUNDREDS OF
- 27 MILLIONS OF DOLLARS EVERY YEAR.
- 28 IN FACT -- AND WHAT A GOOD PORTION OF SOME OF
- 1 IT WENT TO IS THINGS LIKE HEALTH COSTS AND HEALTH PROGRAMS
- 2 FOR KIDS AND ANYONE WHO IS POOR, THAT KIND OF THING. BUT A
- 3 GOOD PORTION OF IT WENT TO ANTI-SMOKING, YOU KNOW, PROGRAMS
- 4 AND PARTICULARLY MEDIA.
- 5 SO -- IN FACT, IT WAS A LOS ANGELES FIRM WHICH
- 6 GOT THE CONTRACT FOR THIS. AND THEY REALLY CHANGED THE
- 7 WHOLE NATURE OF HOW PEOPLE WENT ABOUT THIS. AND, IN FACT,
- 8 SOMEBODY SAID, GEE, CAN WE DO THIS? AND THEY SAID, WE'RE
- 9 CALIFORNIA, WE CAN DO IT DIFFERENTLY. WE WILL DO IT
- 10 DIFFERENTLY.
- 11 AND THE THRUST OF THEIR CAMPAIGN -- A LOT OF
- 12 IT WAS TO TARGET THE SMOKER AS BEING KIND OF A BAD PERSON.
- 13 I DON'T KNOW IF OTHERS WILL REMEMBER THIS ONE -- I REMEMBER
- 14 VERY WELL -- IT WAS THE AD -- BILLBOARD, RATHER -- WHICH
- 15 SAID -- A GLAMOROUS MAN, A GLAMOROUS WOMAN -- AND HE SAID,
- 16 "MIND IF I SMOKE?" AND SHE SAID, "CARE IF I DIE?"
- 17 THE IDEA IS YOU'RE A SMOKER, YOU'RE PUTTING ME
- 18 AT RISK, MY LIFE, SO YOU'RE A BAD PERSON.
- 19 THEN THE OTHER ONE -- IS THAT OKAY?
- 20 Q. GO AHEAD.
- 21 A. -- WAS THAT THEY TARGETED THE INDUSTRY. AND
- 22 THIS WAS REALLY WHAT WAS SO DIFFERENT ABOUT THE CALIFORNIA
- 23 PROGRAM, WHICH WON RAVES FROM OTHER PEOPLE WHO WANTED TO
- 24 SEE SMOKING DECLINE.
- 25 AND THIS IS WHERE THEY REALLY TARGETED THE
- 26 INDUSTRY. THE FIRST OF THE ADS THAT THEY DEVELOPED AIRED
- 27 HERE IN LOS ANGELES IN 1990, AND THEN THROUGHOUT THE STATE
- 28 IN THE SPRING OF 1990.
- 3888
- 1 AND THIS WAS ONE WHERE THEY FEATURED ACTORS
- 2 PORTRAYING TOBACCO EXECUTIVES AND PUT THESE WORDS IN THEIR
- 3 MOUTHS AND SAYING, "WE'RE NOT DOING THIS FOR OUR HEALTH,"
- 4 HA, HA, HA -- AND SO THESE VERY EVIL-LOOKING PEOPLE SAY
- 5 THIS. AND THEY RAN ONE AD, FOR EXAMPLE, FOR SIX YEARS.
- 6 AND A YEAR AFTER IT WENT OFF THE AIR THEY DID A STUDY -- 7 THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH WANTED TO MAKE
- 8 SURE THEY WERE GETTING THEIR MONEY'S WORTH. THEY FOUND
- 9 THAT 96 PERCENT OF ADULTS THAT HADN'T SEEN IT FOR AT LEAST
- 10 A YEAR COULD STILL REMEMBER THAT AD.
- 11 THE RESULT OF THIS IS CALIFORNIA'S SMOKING

- 12 RATES WENT WAY, WAY DOWN.
- 13 Q. YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT ISOLATING THE SMOKER AND
- 14 SMOKING REGULATIONS THAT ESSENTIALLY ISOLATED SMOKERS WHICH
- 15 WOULD INCLUDE SMOKING BANS ON PLACES, RIGHT, IN BUILDINGS
- 16 AND ULTIMATELY NOW IN RESTAURANTS?
- 17 A. (NODDING HEAD.)
- 18 Q. AND YOU ALSO TALKED ABOUT ESSENTIALLY A
- 19 CAMPAIGN TO MAKE SMOKERS FEEL THAT THEY WERE DOING NOT JUST
- 20 SOMETHING THAT THEY WERE CHOOSING TO DO, BUT SOMETHING
- 21 WRONG; IS THAT CORRECT?
- 22 A. THAT'S RIGHT.
- 23 Q. AND YOU ALSO MENTIONED AT THE END OF THAT LAST
- 24 ANSWER HOW THE MEDIA AND OTHERS WERE STARTING TO
- 25 CHARACTERIZE THE POSITION OF THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY.
- 26 NOW, DURING THIS PERIOD THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY
- 27 STILL HADN'T SIGNED UP WITH THE SURGEON GENERAL AND THEY
- 28 WERE STILL DEBATING; WEREN'T THEY?

- 1 A. THAT'S RIGHT.
- 2 Q. AND THERE WAS A SHIFT IN HOW THEY WERE
- 3 CHARACTERIZED IN THE MEDIA AND PUBLIC CULTURE?
- 4 A. VERY MUCH SO. YOU ALWAYS THINK OF THE OLD
- 5 WESTERN MOVIES WHERE YOU KIND OF KNEW WHO THE BAD GUY WAS
- 6 BY THE WAY THEY WERE DRESSED OR SOMETHING. HE WAS ALWAYS
- 7 THE BAD GUY.
- 8 AND WHAT YOU SEE IN THE MEDIA, FOR EXAMPLE --
- 9 THIS IS REFLECTED IN THE LOTS OF PLACES -- THE L.A. TIMES
- 10 IS A GOOD SPOT TO LOOK AT -- THEY WILL SOMETIMES SAY THE
- 11 POSITION, THEN THEY'LL SAY SOMETHING LIKE, WELL,
- 12 "PREDICTABLY." OR THEY WILL MAKE IT REALLY CLEAR THAT THEY
- 13 ARE ALMOST MAKING FUN OF THEM, THEY SORT OF BECOME THE BUTT
- 14 OF JOKES. THESE ARE NOT HAPPY JOKES. IT'S
- 15 WE-DON'T-LIKE-THESE-PEOPLE JOKES.
- 16 Q. I WANT TO SHOW A COUPLE OF EXAMPLES. AS WE
- 17 TALK ABOUT THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY, WAS MEDIA COVERAGE OF THE
- 18 TOBACCO INDUSTRY'S POSITION MUCH GREATER DURING THIS
- 19 PERIOD?
- 20 A. NO. IN FACT, I THINK IT FALLS AWAY. IT KEEPS
- 21 FALLING AWAY, BASICALLY. THEY ARE NOT -- THERE IS NOT A
- 22 LOT OF REPORTAGE ON THE TOBACCO -- THERE IS SOME, BUT THERE
- 23 IS NOT A LOT. AND WHEN IT IS THERE, IT'S OFTEN, AS I SAID,
- 24 PUT IN THIS ALMOST DERISIVE WAY.
- 25 Q. LESS AND LESS AND MORE CRITICAL TO THE EXTENT
- 26 IT IS COVERED?
- 27 A. YES.
- 28 Q. SO WE'VE COME A FAR WAY FROM THE BEGINNING OF 3890
- 1 THE PERIOD WHERE THERE WAS LITTLE COVERAGE BUT IT WAS
- 2 REPORTED KIND OF NEUTRALLY?
- 3 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 4 Q. LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT NUMBER 40, WHICH IS FROM
- 5 THE LOS ANGELES TIMES.
- 6 IS THIS AN EXAMPLE OF WHAT YOU WERE TALKING
- 7 ABOUT?
- 8 A. YES. THIS IS A NATIONAL COLUMNIST, A
- 9 NATIONALLY SYNDICATED COLUMNIST, BY THE NAME OF ELLEN
- 10 GOODMAN, AND SHE SAYS IN THE FIRST LINE:
- 11 "THERE IS INEVITABLE FLASH
- 12 OF RECOGNITION. YET ANOTHER STUDY
- 13 ON SMOKING."
- 14 AND EVEN THAT SORT OF EXHIBITS COMMON
- 15 KNOWLEDGE. YES, WE RECOGNIZE THIS ALL THE TIME.
- 16 "YET ANOTHER REPORT MORE

- 17 METICULOUSLY RESEARCHED AND ANALYZED
- 18 THAN THE LAST PROVES WHAT WE ALREADY
- 19 KNOW, ANYONE WHO SMOKES IS NUTS.
- 20 THIS STUDY, LIKE THE OTHERS, WILL BE
- 21 FOLLOWED BY A WORD FROM OUR FAVORITE
- 22 SPONSOR, THOSE WONDERFUL PEOPLE AT
- 23 THE TOBACCO INSTITUTE. THE TOBACCO
- 24 INSTITUTE APPARENTLY EMPLOYS LEGIONS
- 25 OF GNOMES WHO SPEND THEIR DAYS
- 26 DEVISING BAROQUE REBUTTALS TO
- 27 SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH."
- 28 SO IT'S PRETTY SARCASTIC.

- 1 Q. TAKE A LOOK AT STRAIGHT NEWS COVERAGE,
- 2 NUMBER 49 FROM TIME MAGAZINE SEEN DURING THIS PERIOD.
- 3 THIS IS MARCH 8, 1982. AND BEFORE WE GET TO
- 4 THE ACTUAL QUOTE, IS THIS WHAT YOU TALKED ABOUT BRACKETING?
- 5 BASICALLY THIS IS A REPORT ABOUT THE 1982 SURGEON GENERAL'S
- 6 REPORT.
- 7 A. YES.
- 8 Q. CAN YOU EXPLAIN WHAT YOU MEAN HOW THIS IS
- 9 A SAMPLE OF BRACKETING?
- 10 A. I THINK THE WORD I USED WAS "FLANKED."
- 11 YOU HAVE THIS VERY LONG -- YOU CAN SEE THIS IS
- 12 FOUR COLUMNS TYPED, AND IT CONTINUES ON TOP OF THE NEXT
- 13 PAGE. AND WHEN THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT THE TOBACCO
- 14 INDUSTRY'S RESPONSE, THEY BEGIN WITH THE WORD
- 15 "PREDICTABLY."
- 16 THE INDUSTRY FUNDED TOBACCO INSTITUTE --
- 17 FUNDED THE REPORT -- THAT'S SOMETHING IMPORTANT, TOO, THEY
- 18 ARE ALWAYS SAYING THE INDUSTRY FUNDED. YOU'RE ALERTED AS A
- 19 READER THAT THIS IS A COMMERCIAL THING. THESE ARE PEOPLE
- 20 WHOSE ECONOMIC INTERESTS ARE AT STAKE. THEY SAY --
- 21 THE REPORTER: COULD I HAVE YOU READ SLOWER, PLEASE.
- 22 THE WITNESS: (READING:)
- 23 "WHILE MANY PEOPLE BELIEVE A
- 24 CAUSAL LINK BETWEEN SMOKING AND
- 25 CANCER IS A GIVEN, SCIENTIFIC
- 26 RESEARCH HAS NOT BEEN ABLE TO
- 27 ESTABLISH THAT LINK, NOR HAS IT BEEN
- 28 ABLE TO DETERMINE HOW NORMAL CELLS 3892
- 1 BECOME CANCEROUS."
- 2 Q. BY MR. LEITER: THEN IT TALKS MORE ABOUT WHAT
- 3 IS IN THE SURGEON GENERAL'S REPORT?
- 4 A. EXACTLY. IT BASICALLY TELLS US, WE KNOW THIS
- 5 STUFF. THERE IS NO DISPUTE.
- 6 Q. "PREDICTABLY" IS OBVIOUSLY AN EDITORIAL WORD?
- 7 A. THEY KIND OF SNEAK IT INTO THAT ONE. THEY ARE
- 8 SAYING THIS IS INTENDED AS A STRAIGHT NEWS REPORT, BUT I
- 9 THINK THAT THE FEELING, THE ATTITUDE THEY HAVE, IS EVIDENT
- 10 IN JUST THAT FIRST WORD.
- 11 Q. NOW, AS THE PERIOD MOVES FORWARD, DOES THE
- 12 HOSTILITY STAY THE SAME, INCREASE, DECREASE, TOWARD THE
- 13 INDUSTRY?
- 14 A. I THINK IT MOUNTS. AND YOU CAN SEE THIS IN
- 15 PART OF IT, AS YOU SAID, GOES BACK TO THE INDUSTRY'S
- 16 STATEMENTS, THAT PEOPLE DIDN'T LIKE AND FELT ANNOYED BY
- 17 IT.
- 18 Q. LET'S MOVE RIGHT AHEAD TO 1994. IN 1994 WAS
- 19 THE DATE -- THE YEAR THAT THE TOBACCO EXECUTIVES WERE
- 20 CALLED IN FRONT OF CONGRESS AND THE JURY HAS SEEN SOME
- 21 CLIPS FROM THAT TESTIMONY.

- 22 YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH THAT TESTIMONY?
- 23 A. YES, I AM.
- 24 Q. ARE YOU ALSO FAMILIAR WITH HOW IT WAS
- 25 PORTRAYED IN THE PRESS?
- 26 A. YES, I AM.
- 27 Q. HOW WAS IT PORTRAYED?
- 28 A. WELL, WITH I THINK HEAPS OF SCORN FOR THE MOST 3893
- 1 PART. IN FACT, IT WAS -- IT WAS SUCH A RIPE OPPORTUNITY
- 2 FOR THE ADVERTISERS HERE IN LOS ANGELES WHO ARE MAKING
- 3 THESE ANTI-SMOKING COMMERCIALS, THAT THEY IMMEDIATELY TOOK
- 4 IT, AND WITHIN FOUR MONTHS HAD TURNED IT AROUND TO A P.S.A.
- 5 Q. IT WAS A PUBLIC SERVICE ANNOUNCEMENT FOR THE
- 6 AMERICAN CANCER SOCIETY OR ANOTHER ANTI-SMOKING
- 7 ORGANIZATION USING THE WORDS FROM THE EXECUTIVES' OWN
- 8 MOUTHS?
- 9 A. RIGHT. AND THE SUBTITLE WAS, "DO THEY THINK
- 10 WE'RE STUPID?" AND THE ANSWER OF THE PUBLIC, OF COURSE, IS
- 11 NO, WE'RE NOT STUPID.
- 12 Q. LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT NUMBER 46. IS THAT AN
- 13 EXAMPLE OF THE COVERAGE IN THE LOS ANGELES TIMES OF THE
- 14 WAXMAN HEARINGS?
- 15 A. YES. THAT IS COVERAGE OF THE L.A. TIMES.
- 16 Q. IS THAT CONSISTENT OR TYPICAL OF THE KIND OF
- 17 COVERAGE THAT YOU FOUND?
- 18 A. YES. IN FACT, THAT LAST SENTENCE, THIRD
- 19 ANSWER, THAT IT WAS DONE TO IMPROVE FLAVOR, WAS WIDELY
- 20 DERIDED. I THINK IT'S WIDELY DERIDED BECAUSE THE PUBLIC
- 21 HAS GOTTEN TO THAT POINT THEY JUST DON'T BELIEVE THEM AT
- 22 ALL ANYMORE.
- 23 Q. BECAUSE THE PUBLIC HAS RECEIVED SO MUCH
- 24 INFORMATION FROM SO MANY DIFFERENT SOURCES ABOUT THE HEALTH
- 25 RISKS?
- 26 A. YES. THEY RECEIVED INFORMATION ABOUT THE
- 27 HEALTH RISKS FOR 40 YEARS AND THEY'VE ALSO HEARD A LOT OF
- 28 VERY VOCIFEROUS CRITIQUES OF THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY.

- 1 O. NOW, WE'VE COVERED THE PERIOD 1950 TO 1994
- 2 WITH A SHORT DIVERSION BACK TO 1492. AND I JUST HAVE A
- 3 COUPLE OF OTHER THINGS THAT I WANT TO COVER WITH YOU BEFORE
- 4 I'M DONE.
- 5 NOW, WE'VE TALKED FOR MOST OF THE DAY ABOUT A
- 6 LOT OF THE INFORMATION THAT WAS AVAILABLE TO PEOPLE OUT
- 7 THERE. WE DIDN'T REALLY TALK THAT MUCH ABOUT ADVERTISING.
- 8 WHY NOT?
- 9 A. WELL, AS I DEFINED MY QUESTION, IT HAD TO DO
- 10 WHAT DID PEOPLE KNOW ABOUT THE HEALTH RISKS AND WHEN DID
- 11 THEY KNOW THEM AND HOW DID THEY KNOW THEM? SO WHAT I
- 12 LOOKED AT PRIMARILY IS THE INFORMATION THAT PEOPLE WERE
- 13 GETTING THAT WOULD TELL THEM THIS IS A BAD THING FOR YOU.
- 14 ADVERTISING WAS A PART OF THE HISTORICAL
- 15 UNIVERSE. IT'S THE PART OF WHAT PEOPLE HEAR AND KNOW, SO I
- 16 CERTAINLY CAME ACROSS IT, BUT I DID NOT FEEL THAT IT REALLY
- 17 WAS IMPORTANT FOR ANSWERING THAT QUESTION.
- 18 Q. WHY DIDN'T YOU FEEL THAT CIGARETTE ADVERTISING
- 19 WOULD BE IMPORTANT TO AN EVALUATION OF COMMON KNOWLEDGE
- 20 ABOUT THE HEALTH RISKS OF SMOKING?
- 21 A. WELL, THERE WERE SEVERAL REASONS. ONE OF THE
- 22 MOST IMPORTANT REASONS IS THAT IT HAS TO DO WITH THE WAY
- 23 ADVERTISING ITSELF WORKS. ALTHOUGH I'M NOT AN ADVERTISING
- 24 EXPERT, BUT I DO KNOW ABOUT IT, THE GENERAL HISTORICAL
- 25 CONTEXT, AND ONE IS SIMPLY THAT PEOPLE ARE INUNDATED WITH
- 26 ADVERTISING. ADVERTISING REALLY WAS INVENTED AT THE START

- 27 OF THE 20TH CENTURY. IT COMES OUT AS PART OF THE
- 28 INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION. WE HAVE ALL THE THESE PRODUCTS.
- 1 NOW YOU HAVE TO BUY -- BUY MINE, DON'T BUY HIS, KIND OF
- 2 THING.
- 3 PEOPLE HEAR IT ALL THE TIME. IT'S THE FREEWAY
- 4 NOISE IN MODERN SOCIETY. IT'S THERE. WE DO OUR BEST TO
- 5 KIND OF TUNE IT OUT. THAT'S WHY YOU HAVE MUTE BUTTONS ON
- 6 TELEVISION CONTROLS.
- 7 SO I THINK TO A LARGE EXTENT, EVEN THOUGH
- 8 THERE IS A LOT, IT'S NOT WHAT PEOPLE GO TO TO LOOK FOR
- 9 HEALTH INFORMATION.
- 10 Q. BEFORE YOU MOVE ON. WHEN YOU SAY PEOPLE ARE
- 11 INUNDATED WITH ADVERTISING, YOU MEAN ADVERTISING FOR ALL
- 12 PRODUCTS FROM ALL TYPES OF ADVERTISING, YOU'RE NOT
- 13 REFERRING SPECIFICALLY TO CIGARETTE ADVERTISING?
- 14 A. THAT'S RIGHT. AND, IN FACT, CIGARETTE
- 15 ADVERTISING IS ONE OF -- IS NOT ONE OF THE PRIMARY THINGS
- 16 THAT IS ADVERTISED EVEN. IN THE NEWSPAPERS, FOR EXAMPLE,
- 17 IT WAS LESS THAN ONE PERCENT OF NEWSPAPER ADVERTISING,
- 18 DISPLAY ADVERTISING, IS CIGARETTES.
- 19 SO PEOPLE GET A LOT AT ALL TYPES OF
- 20 ADVERTISING WHICH THEY TRY THEIR VERY BEST TO NOT HAVE TO
- 21 LISTEN TO. BUT THEN CIGARETTES THEMSELVES ARE A RELATIVELY
- 22 SMALL PORTION OF THAT, CERTAINLY IN PRINTED MATTER. AND
- 23 THEN THEY ARE ACTUALLY OFF THE AIRWAYS ALTOGETHER AFTER
- 24 1971.
- 25 Q. SO CIGARETTES HAVEN'T BEEN ON TELEVISION FOR
- 26 30 YEARS?
- 27 A. A LITTLE BIT MORE THAN 30 YEARS.
- 28 Q. AND WHILE THERE WAS A LOT OF CIGARETTE 3896
- 1 ADVERTISING, IT'S A SMALL PERCENTAGE OF ALL THE ADVERTISING
- 2 THAT PEOPLE SEE, FAIR ENOUGH?
- 3 A. THAT'S TRUE.
- 4 Q. WHAT IS IT ABOUT SOURCE CREDIBILITY THAT'S
- 5 RELEVANT TO YOUR OPINION?
- 6 A. WELL, IT'S ALSO -- PART OF THE HISTORY OF
- 7 ADVERTISING IS THE HISTORY OF HOW PEOPLE HAVE FELT ABOUT
- 8 ADVERTISING, WHICH IS THAT THEY DON'T BELIEVE A LOT OF IT.
- 9 THERE HAS BEEN A LOT OF CRITIQUES OF ADVERTISING, THAT
- 10 AGAIN GOES BACK TO THE START OF THE CENTURY. A LOT OF
- 11 REALLY INTERESTING CRITIQUES.
- 12 PEOPLE SORT OF KNOW IT'S MOSTLY HOGWASH, YOU
- 13 KNOW, THAT THE CAR MAY HAVE A BEAUTIFUL GIRL IN IT, BUT YOU
- 14 DON'T GET THE GIRL. THAT YOU MAY BRUSH AND BRUSH AND BRUSH
- 15 WITH PEPSODENT BUT YOUR TEETH REALLY ARE NOT GOING TO BE AS
- 16 WHITE AS THE MOVIE STARS.
- 17 I THINK FOR MOST PEOPLE THAT THERE IS AN
- 18 UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS IS COMMERCIALLY DRIVEN, THAT
- 19 SOMEBODY IS TRYING TO SELL YOU SOMETHING. WHEN PEOPLE TRY
- 20 TO SELL YOU THINGS, THEY MAKE IT LOOK GOOD.
- 21 Q. AND IN ADDITION TO SEEING ADVERTISING THAT
- 22 YOU'VE JUST DISCUSSED, PEOPLE SAW OTHER INFORMATION FROM A
- 23 WIDE VARIETY OF SOURCES?

- 24 A. YES. AND REALLY I THINK THAT AS SOURCES,
- 25 THOSE WERE SO MUCH MORE IMPORTANT TO PEOPLE. IF YOUR
- 26 MOTHER TELLS YOU, IF YOUR CHURCH TELLS YOU, IF IT'S AGAINST
- 27 THE LAW TO DO IT, IF THE GOVERNMENT IS WARNING YOU, IF IN
- 28 POPULAR CULTURE YOU'RE HEARING IT CALLED CANCER STICKS,
- 1 COFFIN NAILS, IF PEOPLE IN MOVIES LIKE "LETHAL WEAPON" SAY,
- 2 "HEY, LET'S HAVE A CIGARETTE TOGETHER, WE CAN BOTH DIE OF

- 3 CANCER, " ALL OF THOSE KINDS OF THINGS -- THE BODY LANGUAGE
- 4 IS SUCH YOU KIND OF HAVE TO HIDE YOUR CIGARETTE. YOU DON'T
- 5 WANT SOMEONE TO KNOW YOU'RE A SMOKER. THOSE THINGS ARE
- 6 MUCH MORE POWERFUL AND THEY TELL YOU IT'S BAD FOR YOU.
- 7 THEY DON'T TELL YOU IT'S A PRETTY DAY IN NEW YORK WHERE
- 8 SOMEBODY IS SMOKING.
- 9 Q. ANOTHER SUBJECT BEFORE I FINISH. WE'VE TALKED
- 10 A COUPLE OF TIMES DURING THE COURSE OF TODAY ABOUT THE
- 11 VOLUME OF ARTICLES, OR VOLUME OF INFORMATION THAT WAS OUT
- 12 THERE ABOUT THE HEALTH RISKS, AND AS COMPARED TO THE VOLUME
- 13 OF INFORMATION THAT WAS OUT THERE ABOUT THE TOBACCO
- 14 INDUSTRY'S POSITION.
- 15 DID YOU, DURING THE COURSE OF YOUR RESEARCH,
- 16 TRY TO QUANTIFY THAT IN ANY WAY?
- 17 A. YES, I DID. AND I TOOK THE LOS ANGELES TIMES,
- 18 BECAUSE THE STORY IS SET IN LOS ANGELES, AND I THOUGHT IT
- 19 WOULD BE A GOOD WAY -- YOU CAN'T QUANTIFY EVERYTHING -- ALL
- 20 THE TELEVISION, ALL THE THISES AND THATS.
- 21 I TOOK THE L.A. TIMES AND I TRIED TO FIND OUT
- 22 EXACTLY HOW MANY ARTICLES WOULD TELL YOU IN ONE WAY OR
- 23 ANOTHER THAT YOU REALLY OUGHT NOT TO SMOKE FOR YOUR HEALTH.
- 24 Q. AND YOU USED THE L.A. TIMES AS AN EXAMPLE?
- 25 A. YES.
- 26 Q. YOU COULD GET SIMILAR RESULTS FROM OTHER
- 27 PUBLICATIONS?
- 28 A. YES, I THINK SO.

- 1 Q. I'M SORRY. I INTERRUPTED YOU. YOU WERE IN
- 2 THE MIDDLE.
- 3 A. NO. THAT'S OKAY.
- 4 SO ALL THE ARTICLES WHICH WOULD DO THAT. AND
- 5 THEN I REALLY WANTED TO FIND HOW MANY ARTICLES THAT THERE
- 6 WOULD BE THAT THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY IN THIS ARTICLE, THE
- 7 FOCUS OF IT WAS GOING TO BE, "WE WANT YOU TO KNOW THAT NOT
- 8 ALL THE EVIDENCE IS IN, " AND "WE DON'T THINK IT CAUSES LUNG
- 9 CANCER, " THAT KIND OF THING.
- 10 Q. LET ME PUT OUT HERE A BOX FILLED WITH PAPER
- 11 FOR WHICH WE HAVE NOTED THE EXHIBIT NUMBERS. BUT AS YOU'LL
- 12 SEE IN A MINUTE, I WON'T RECITE THEM.
- 13 THERE ARE COPIES IN THAT BOX OF 2,287
- 14 NEWSPAPER ARTICLES FROM THE LOS ANGELES TIMES.
- 15 WHAT DOES THAT REPRESENT?
- 16 A. THIS REPRESENTS ALL THE ARTICLES THAT I COULD
- 17 FIND THAT TALKED ABOUT, IN ONE WAY OR ANOTHER, SMOKING AND
- 18 HEALTH.
- 19 SOME OF THESE ARTICLES WERE LIKE THE ONES YOU
- 20 SAW WITH THE HEADLINES. THE ARTICLE IS LIKE WYNDER AND
- 21 GRAHAM. IT'S BASICALLY WHAT IT'S ABOUT.
- 22 IT SAYS SMOKING WILL KILL SOME PERCENTAGE OF
- 23 THE PEOPLE WHO DO IT. THERE WERE SOME ARTICLES WHERE THAT
- 24 WASN'T THE WHOLE ARTICLE. IT MAY HAVE BEEN A DOCTOR'S
- 25 ADVICE COLUMN, AND ONE SMALL PART OF IT IS ABOUT SMOKING.
- 26 THE PART ABOUT SMOKING THAT'S IN THERE TELLS YOU AGAIN THAT
- 27 YOU REALLY SHOULDN'T DO IT.
- 28 Q. WHAT TIME PERIOD ARE WE TALKING ABOUT, THESE 3899
- 1 2,287 ARTICLES?
- 2 A. THESE GO FROM JANUARY OF 1950 UP THROUGH JUNE
- 3 OF 1994, BECAUSE IN HIS DEPOSITION MR. BOEKEN SAID THAT
- 4 WHEN HIS MOTHER DIED IN JUNE OF 1994 OF LUNG CANCER THAT
- 5 THAT'S WHEN HE REALIZED THAT YOU COULD DIE OF LUNG CANCER
- 6 FROM SMOKING
- 7 Q. DURING THAT PERIOD IN JUST THE LOS ANGELES

- 8 TIMES YOU FOUND 2,287 ARTICLES THAT PREDOMINANTLY OR
- 9 BASICALLY WERE ABOUT THE HEALTH RISKS OF SMOKING?
- 10 A. THAT'S RIGHT.
- 11 Q. HOW MANY OF THOSE ARTICLES MENTION THE TOBACCO
- 12 INDUSTRY'S POSITION?
- 13 A. MY PRECISE NUMBER WAS 130, 131. THE
- 14 PERCENTAGE WAS ABOUT 5.6 PERCENT. FIVE PERCENT.
- 15 Q. ABOUT 5 PERCENT OF THE ARTICLES HAVE SOME
- 16 REFERENCE SOMEWHERE IN THE ARTICLE TO THE TOBACCO
- 17 INDUSTRY'S POSITION?
- 18 A. THAT'S RIGHT.
- 19 Q. ARE THOSE THE ONES -- I HOPE I USE THE RIGHT
- 20 WORD THIS TIME -- THAT ARE FLANKED BY CONTRARY INFORMATION?
- 21 A. YES. THAT'S RIGHT. OFTEN IT'S A ONE-LINER.
- 22 SOMETIMES IT'S NOT. MAYBE IT'S TWO TO THREE LINES. BUT
- 23 THE MOST TYPICAL IS THAT IT'S A ONE BRIEF LINE TOWARDS THE
- 24 END WHICH WILL SAY SOMETHING THAT THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY
- 25 DOESN'T THINK THIS IS CONCLUSIVE.
- 26 Q. THAT OCCURS IN ABOUT 5 PERCENT OF THESE
- 27 ARTICLES AND 95 PERCENT DON'T MENTION THE TOBACCO
- 28 INDUSTRY'S POSITION AT ALL?

- 1 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 2 Q. I'M GOING TO PUT ANOTHER STACK -- I'LL BORROW
- 3 THE POINTER. THANK YOU.
- 4 IF I COULD PUT ANOTHER STACK OF ARTICLES NEXT
- 5 TO THE 2,287. ALSO, WE HAVE A CHART IDENTIFYING THEM BY
- 6 EXHIBIT NUMBER.
- 7 THERE ARE 41 L.A. TIMES ARTICLES IN THAT
- 8 STACK. AND WHAT DOES THAT STACK REPRESENT?
- 9 A. THIS STACK IS A STACK OF THE 41 ARTICLES, AND
- 10 NOT JUST ARTICLES. THIS IS THE ONLY STACK, BY THE WAY,
- 11 WHICH I INCLUDED IN THE ADS. IT ALSO INCLUDES ADS BY THE
- 12 TOBACCO INDUSTRY.
- 13 Q. LIKE THE FRANK STATEMENT?
- 14 A. LIKE THE FRANK STATEMENT. THERE ARE A LOT OF
- 15 ANTI-SMOKING ADS WHICH DON'T GO INTO THE PILE.
- 16 ONE, I DIDN'T WANT IT TO BE TOO HEAVY TO LIFT.
- 17 I ONLY USED ADVERTISING. IF IT WAS AN ADVERTISING
- 18 STATEMENT BY THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY, LIKE THE FRANK
- 19 STATEMENT, "WE'RE GOING TO DO MORE RESEARCH AND WE'LL GET
- 20 BACK TO YOU." SO THAT 41 ARTICLES ARE A FEW ADS. NOT MANY
- 21 OF THOSE ARE ARTICLES WHERE THE EMPHASIS ON THE ARTICLE IS
- 22 WHAT THE INDUSTRY IS SAYING. STILL, BY THE WAY, IT
- 23 REFERENCES THESE ARTICLES TO SOMEBODY ELSE'S RESEARCH WHERE
- 24 THEY SAY, NO, THAT'S NOT THE CASE; IT IS PROVEN.
- 25 Q. SO 41 ARTICLES PREDOMINANTLY ABOUT THE TOBACCO
- 26 INDUSTRY'S POSITION FROM 1950 TO 1994; IS THAT RIGHT?
- 27 A. THAT'S ALL OF THEM.
- 28 Q. AND IT COMES OUT TO LESS THAN ONE A YEAR, ON 3901
- 1 AVERAGE?
- 2 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 3 Q. LAST POINT.
- 4 HAVE YOU TAKEN A LOOK AT STATISTICS ON SMOKING
- 5 RATES OVER TIME AND THE ISSUE AS TO WHETHER ALL OF THIS
- 6 INFORMATION ABOUT THE HEALTH RISKS OF SMOKING HAS HAD AN
- 7 EFFECT ON SMOKING IN AMERICA?
- 8 A. YES, I HAVE.
- 9 Q. WHAT DID YOU FIND?
- 10 A. WELL, I FOUND THAT COMMON KNOWLEDGE WAS
- 11 REFLECTED IN COMMON BEHAVIOR, WHICH TO ME IS AN IMPORTANT
- 12 ELEMENT OF THE HISTORICAL PICTURE, ANY TIME YOU'RE LOOKING

- 13 AT SOMETHING IN ITS FULL CONTEXT.
- 14 AND WHAT I FOUND WAS THAT SMOKING HAS DECLINED
- 15 PRECIPITOUSLY IN THE UNITED STATES FROM THE LATE '50S TO
- 16 THE PRESENT. IT'S VERY, VERY LOW.
- 17 AND ALSO INTERESTINGLY -- AND I THOUGHT
- 18 CALIFORNIA COULD PAT THEMSELVES ON THE BACK IN THIS
- 19 RESPECT -- CALIFORNIANS STARTED OUT SMOKING MORE AND WE NOW
- 20 SMOKE FAR BELOW THE NATIONAL AVERAGE.
- 21 Q. LET ME SHOW YOU A COUPLE OF CHARTS.
- 22 THIS ONE THE JURY HAS SEEN BEFORE. ARE YOU
- 23 FAMILIAR WITH THIS CHART?
- 24 A. YES, I AM.
- 25 Q. THIS IS DATA FROM THE SURGEON GENERAL'S
- 26 REPORT.
- 27 A. PARDON?
- 28 Q. THIS DATA IS FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT?
- 3902
- 1 A. FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, RIGHT, FROM THE
- 2 CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND THE SURGEON GENERAL'S
- 3 REPORT.
- 4 Q. ARE THESE THE NUMBERS YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT
- 5 ABOUT THE DECLINE IN SMOKING RATES OVER TIME?
- 6 A. YES, THAT'S RIGHT.
- 7 Q. AND HAVE YOU ALSO REVIEWED THE 1989 SURGEON
- 8 GENERAL'S REPORT ABOUT THE SUCCESS OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH
- 9 CAMPAIGN?
- 10 A. YES, I HAVE.
- 11 Q. LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT NUMBER 48. AND I'VE LOST
- 12 TRACK OF MY NUMBERING.
- 13 THE CLERK: IT WOULD BE 11,024.
- 14 MR. LEITER: THANK YOU.
- 15 Q. 11,024.
- 16 AND WHAT IS THIS?
- 17 A. WELL, THIS IS THE REPORT OF THE SURGEON
- 18 GENERAL. THE SURGEON GENERAL'S OFFICE PUTS OUT REPORTS
- 19 CONTINUOUSLY SINCE 1964. THEY MISSED A COUPLE OF YEARS.
- 20 IN THIS PARTICULAR ONE THEY WERE LOOKING BACK
- 21 AT THE QUARTER CENTURY MARK, SINCE 1964, AND ASKING HOW
- 22 HAVE WE DONE?
- 23 AND AS YOU CAN SEE, THE FIRST QUOTE SAYS:
- 24 "THESE DEVELOPMENTS HAVE
- 25 CHANGED THE WAY IN WHICH OUR SOCIETY
- 26 VIEWS SMOKING. THE ASHTRAY IS
- 27 FOLLOWING THE SPITTOON INTO
- 28 OBLIVION."
- 3903
- 1 I THINK THIS IS VERY RELEVANT BECAUSE IT SHOWS
- 2 AGAIN THAT THERE IS A REAL WHOLE SOCIAL-FEEL CHANGE, HOW
- 3 PEOPLE FEEL ABOUT SMOKING, HOW THEY FEEL ABOUT SMOKERS,
- 4 ABOUT PEOPLE WHO SELL SMOKING PRODUCTS.
- 5 AND THEY COMPARE IT TO THE SPITTOON, WHICH OF
- 6 COURSE REFERS TO PEOPLE CHEWING TOBACCO. THE SPITTOON WAS
- 7 CONSIDERED RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CAUSE OF TUBERCULOSIS. ALL
- 8 THE SPITTING THAT PEOPLE WERE DOING, IT HELPED TO SPREAD
- 9 TUBERCULOSIS. IT WAS A GRAVE MEDICAL SITUATION.
- 10 SO NOW THIS IS GOING AWAY. YOU DON'T SEE
- 11 SPITTOONS IN ANY COURTROOMS TODAY, AND YOU DON'T SEE
- 12 ASHTRAYS.
- 13 I RECENTLY WAS TAKING NOTE OF THE FACT THAT
- 14 CARS DON'T HAVE ASHTRAYS NOW. MY CAR DOESN'T. I NEVER
- 15 NOTICED IT BECAUSE I DON'T SMOKE. YOU DON'T EVEN HAVE THEM
- 16 IN YOUR CAR.
- 17 Q. AND THE LAST QUOTE IS:

- 18 "THESE CHANGES REPRESENT
- 19 NOTHING LESS THAN A REVOLUTION IN
- 20 BEHAVIOR. THE ANTI-SMOKING CAMPAIGN
- 21 HAS BEEN A MAJOR PUBLIC HEALTH
- 22 SUCCESS."
- 23 THE LAST GRAPHIC I'D LIKE TO SHOW YOU
- 24 MENTIONED HOW CALIFORNIA BECAME ONE OF THE LEADERS IN
- 25 WARNING PEOPLE ABOUT THE HEALTH RISKS OF SMOKING AND
- 26 ENCOURAGING PEOPLE TO QUIT, AND IN REGULATING SMOKES AS WE
- 27 MOVE TO LATER IN TIME.
- 28 AND YOU ALSO MENTIONED THAT WAY BACK IN THE 3904
- 1 '50S CALIFORNIA WAS ACTUALLY AHEAD OF THE UNITED STATES'
- 2 NATIONAL NUMBERS IN TERMS OF THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO
- 3 SMOKE, THE PERCENTAGE OF PEOPLE WHO SMOKE; CORRECT?
- 4 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 5 Q. LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT NUMBER 53, PLEASE.
- 6 WHAT DOES THAT SHOW?
- 7 A. THIS IS A KIND OF A GRAPH THAT SHOWS YOU CAN
- 8 SEE THE DOWNWARD LINE OF SMOKING. AND I JUST FOUND THIS
- 9 REALLY INTERESTING, BECAUSE I WAS SO TAKEN WITH THE FACT
- 10 THAT THE BLUE LINE, WHICH IS INITIALLY HIGHER, IS THE
- 11 CALIFORNIA RATE, WHERE YOU HAD ABOUT 48 PERCENT OF PEOPLE
- 12 IN CALIFORNIA WERE SMOKERS.
- 13 BY THE WAY, I WANT TO POINT OUT THAT'S THE
- 14 CIVILIAN POPULATION. I THINK PEOPLE KNOW THERE IS A BIG
- 15 MILITARY PRESENCE IN CALIFORNIA. YOU THINK THAT MIGHT
- 16 BOOST UP THE NUMBERS, BUT THESE WERE CIVILIANS.
- 17 SO 48 PERCENT OF CALIFORNIANS WERE SMOKERS
- 18 COMPARED TO THE PEAK NATIONAL OF 45 PERCENT.
- 19 Q. SO BACK IN 1958 CALIFORNIANS SMOKED AT A
- 20 LITTLE HIGHER RATE THAN THE REST OF THE COUNTRY?
- 21 A. RIGHT. THEN WHAT YOU SEE IS OUR LINE, SO TO
- 22 SPEAK, DIPS WAY DOWN BELOW THE NATIONAL AVERAGE AND REMAINS
- 23 STEADILY BELOW THE NATIONAL AVERAGE THROUGH THE PRESENT.
- 24 Q. AND THIS AGAIN IS DATA FROM THE FEDERAL
- 25 GOVERNMENT?
- 26 A. IT'S BOTH DATA FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND
- 27 FROM GALLUP POLLS EARLY ON. IT'S ALSO INFORMATION FROM THE
- 28 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH, WHICH HAS A TOBACCO 3905
- 1 CONTROL SECTION.
- 2 Q. AND HAS HAD FOR MANY YEARS?
- 3 A. YES. BUT PROPOSITION 99 HAS REALLY MADE THAT
- 4 A BIG DEAL.
- 5 Q. THANK YOU, DR. HOFFMAN. I HAVE NO FURTHER
- 6 QUESTIONS.
- 7 THE COURT: THANK YOU, MR. LEITER.
- 8
- 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MR. PIUZE:
- 11 Q. DID YOU READ ALL THESE?
- 12 A. YES, I DID.
- 13 Q. HOW MANY ARE IN THIS BOX?
- 14 A. 2,287.
- 15 Q. HOW LONG DID IT TAKE?
- 16 A. DAYS AND DAYS. IT TOOK A LONG TIME.
- 17 O. HOW LONG?
- 18 A. PRECISE NUMBER OF HOURS? WELL, EIGHT HOURS IN
- 19 A DAY, TIMES MAYBE SIX DAYS, SOMETHING LIKE THAT.
- 20 Q. IT TOOK YOU 50 HOURS TO READ WHAT'S IN THAT
- 21 BOX
- 22 A. PROBABLY. I AM ESTIMATING ON THAT. BUT, YES,

- 23 IT TOOK A LONG TIME.
- 24 Q. WHERE DID YOU GET THAT STUFF?
- 25 A. THESE ARE FROM THE LOS ANGELES TIMES.
- 26 Q. I KNOW. BUT WHERE DID YOU GET IT?
- 27 A. WHERE DID I GET IT? I GOT IT FROM MY RESEARCH
- 28 ASSISTANTS. THE INITIAL CULLING WAS DONE BY THEM, SO THAT 3906
- 1 I DIDN'T HAVE TO SIT AND TURN THE WHEELS OF THE -- IT'S A
- 2 MICROFILM PLAYER THAT YOU CAN HAVE THAT YOU CAN GO TO MOST
- 3 LIBRARIES AND GET IT. WE GOT A LOT OF IT FROM THE LIBRARY
- 4 OF CONGRESS. WE GOT A LOT OF IT IN SAN DIEGO AS WELL.
- 5 Q. LET'S JUST STAY WITH THE L.A. TIMES.
- 6 WHERE DID YOUR RESEARCH ASSISTANTS GET THIS?
- 7 DID THEY COME UP TO LOS ANGELES AND GET THIS?
- 8 A. NO. YOU CAN GET THIS THROUGH LIBRARIES.
- 9 SINCE THE LOS ANGELES TIMES IS A MAJOR NEWSPAPER, THAT'S
- 10 CARRIED IN MOST LIBRARIES.
- 11 Q. SO YOUR RESEARCH ASSISTANTS STARTED GOING
- 12 THROUGH MICROFICHE OF THE LOS ANGELES TIMES, STARTING
- 13 JANUARY 1, 1950 AND WENT THROUGH EVERY LOS ANGELES TIMES
- 14 FOR THE NEXT 44 YEARS IN ORDER TO GET THESE DOCUMENTS?
- 15 A. YES, THAT'S CORRECT. ALTHOUGH IT'S MICROFILM.
- 16 Q. EXCUSE ME?
- 17 A. IT MAKES A BIG DIFFERENCE WHEN YOU'RE DOING
- 18 IT.
- 19 Q. I'M TECHNOLOGICALLY INEPT.
- 20 HOW LONG DID IT TAKE TO GO THROUGH 44 YEARS?
- 21 WATCH THIS; I'M GOOD AT MATH, THOUGH.
- 22 THE L.A. TIMES PUBLISHES 365 DAYS A YEAR?
- 23 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 24 Q. FOR 44 YEARS?
- 25 A. THAT'S RIGHT.
- 26 Q. HOW MANY NEWSPAPERS?
- 27 A. YOU'RE TESTING MY MATH. I DON'T KNOW THE
- 28 NUMBER. I NEED A PIECE OF PAPER.
- 3907
- 1 Q. I HAVEN'T DONE THIS IN ADVANCE. BUT I FIGURE
- 2 IF YOU'VE GOT A PH.D. FROM STANFORD -- HERE (INDICATING).
- 3 365 TIMES 44.
- 4 A. GOT A PEN, TOO?
- 5 Q. SURE. SOMEWHERE.
- 6 A. MAY I HAVE IT?
- 7 Q. (INDICATING.)
- 8 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
- 9 THE WITNESS: 5,090 IS WHAT I COME UP WITH.
- 10 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: SO YOUR RESEARCH ASSISTANTS
- 11 LOOKED AT 5,090 LOS ANGELES TIMES ISSUES FOR YOU?
- 12 A. THAT'S RIGHT.
- 13 Q. HOW LONG DID THAT TAKE?
- 14 A. WEEKS.
- 15 Q. HOW MANY?
- 16 A. PROBABLY FOUR TO SIX WEEKS. TOOK A LONG TIME.
- 17 Q. HOW MANY ASSISTANTS WORKING FOUR TO SIX
- 18 WEEKS?
- 19 A. SEVERAL.
- 20 Q. HOW MANY?
- 21 A. ABOUT FOUR OR FIVE DIFFERENT TIMES PEOPLE
- 22 WORKING AT DIFFERENT SPEEDS.
- 23 Q. FOUR OR FIVE PEOPLE WORKING FOUR TO SIX WEEKS
- 24 TO GET YOU TO THE STAGE WHERE YOU COULD SPEND ROUGHLY 50
- 25 HOURS TO REVIEW WHAT THEY'VE GOT?
- 26 A. WELL, YES. THERE IS, OF COURSE -- IN THE
- 27 NEWSPAPER THERE ARE LOTS AND LOTS OF PAGES, SO THEY HAD TO

- 28 READ FAR MANY MORE PAGES THAN I HAD TO READ.
- 3908
- 1 Q. I'M NOT SAYING THEY WENT TOO SLOW. I'M JUST
- 2 TRYING TO GET SOME PARAMETERS ON THIS.
- 3 FOUR OR FIVE PEOPLE, WORKING FOUR TO SIX WEEKS
- 4 TO GET YOU TO WHERE YOU COULD SPEND 50 HOURS TO REVIEW THIS
- 5 MATERIAL?
- 6 A. THAT SOUNDS RIGHT.
- 7 Q. WHERE DID THESE RESEARCH ASSISTANTS COME
- 8 FROM?
- 9 A. THEY CAME FROM DIFFERENT PLACES. ONE OF THE
- 10 RESEARCH ASSISTANTS I HAD -- ACTUALLY, A FEW OF THEM ARE
- 11 FROM SAN DIEGO. PEOPLE I KNOW WHO ARE IN THE MASTER'S
- 12 DEGREE PROGRAM AT SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY.
- 13 I ALSO ASKED THE LAW FIRM THAT HAD ORIGINALLY
- 14 ASKED ME TO DO THIS RESEARCH IF THEY COULD GET ME SOME HELP
- 15 AT THE LIBRARY OF CONGRESS. THE LIBRARY OF CONGRESS HAS
- 16 WHAT THEY CALL THE ADDITION OF RECORD, WHICH IS VERY
- 17 IMPORTANT TO MAKE SURE YOU'RE GETTING WHAT IS CONSIDERED
- 18 THE FINAL COMPLETE EDITION.
- 19 Q. ARE WE STILL TALKING ABOUT THE L.A. TIMES
- 20 ONLY, NOW, RIGHT?
- 21 A. OH, YES.
- 22 Q. SO YOU HAD PEOPLE WORKING IN WASHINGTON, D.C.,
- 23 LOOKING AT THE L.A. TIMES?
- 24 A. THAT'S RIGHT.
- 25 Q. NOW, THIS WASN'T AN ACADEMIC PROJECT YOU WERE
- 26 DOING, WAS IT?
- 27 A. WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THAT?
- 28 Q. I MEAN, THIS WASN'T AN ACADEMIC RESEARCH 3909
- 1 PROJECT THAT YOU WERE DOING, AND YOU WERE GOING TO USE IT
- 2 IN YOUR COURSES OR TO PUBLISH A BOOK OR A PAPER DOWN AT
- 3 SAN DIEGO STATE?
- 4 A. ACTUALLY, IT'S VERY INTERESTING FOR ME IN
- 5 TERMS OF EXPANDING MY KNOWLEDGE. PART OF WHAT HISTORIANS
- 6 DO AS YOU RESEARCH DIFFERENT PROJECTS, IS THAT YOU BROADEN
- 7 OUT THE UNIVERSE OF KNOWLEDGE THAT YOU HAVE.
- 8 I SPOKE AT THE BEGINNING ABOUT A CLEAN SLATE,
- 9 HOW YOU COME INTO EVERY PROJECT AFRESH AND LOOKING AT IT,
- 10 BUT YOU BRING WITH IT A BROAD UNIVERSE OF STUFF THAT YOU
- 11 KNOW.
- 12 AND SO FOR ME THIS HAS CONTRIBUTED VERY MUCH
- 13 TO THAT UNIVERSE OF THINGS THAT I KNOW ABOUT AMERICAN
- 14 REFORM, AMERICAN REFORM MOVEMENT, IN PARTICULAR, TO SOME
- 15 EXTENT, AMERICAN BUSINESS, MORE OF AN EMPHASIS ON POPULAR
- 16 CULTURE. I HAVE KNOWN A LOT MORE ABOUT SMOKING IN THE 17 1920'S, FOR EXAMPLE, AS I USED IN MY MOST RECENT BOOK.
- 18 THAT'S WHERE I WAS HAVING A HARD TIME WITH THE WORD
- 19 "ACADEMIC PROJECT."
- 20 IT IS AN ACADEMIC PROJECT FOR ME. IT'S A
- 21 RESEARCH PROJECT, AND IN THAT RESPECT CONTRIBUTES TO MY
- 22 TEACHING. IT CONTRIBUTES TO MY GENERAL KNOWLEDGE AS A
- 23 HISTORIAN.
- 24 Q. I DON'T THINK THAT'S WHAT I ASKED.
- 25 DID YOU UNDERTAKE THIS AS AN ACADEMIC PROJECT
- 26 HAVING TO DO WITH YOUR PROFESSION AS A TEACHER THERE AT
- 27 SAN DIEGO STATE, OR DID YOU DO IT FOR A DIFFERENT REASON?
- 28 A. HONESTLY, I FEEL THAT I ANSWERED THAT BECAUSE 3910
- 1 THAT IS WHAT AN ACADEMIC DOES. THAT'S WHAT A RESEARCHER
- 3 DOEG
- 3 A HISTORIAN TAKES ON NEW PROJECTS OF DIFFERENT

- 4 TYPES THAT COME YOUR WAY, THAT YOU SAY, YES, I HAVE TIME
- 5 FOR THIS ONE; NO, I DON'T HAVE TIME FOR THIS ONE; I THINK
- 6 THIS ONE IS MORE IMPORTANT THAN ANOTHER.
- 7 SO FOR ME IT IS PART OF MY JOB AS A HISTORIAN.
- 8 Q. FAIR ENOUGH.
- 9 DO YOU SOMETIMES GET GRANTS FROM DIFFERENT
- 10 ORGANIZATIONS IN ORDER TO HELP FUND YOUR RESEARCH?
- 11 A. OCCASIONALLY I DO.
- 12 Q. SUCH AS?
- 13 A. WELL, I GOT A RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP FROM THE
- 14 WOODROW WILSON CENTER FOR INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR SCHOLARS
- 15 IN WASHINGTON, D.C., A FEW YEARS BACK. AND THAT'S AN
- 16 INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH CENTER, THE SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION.
- 17 SO THAT WAS VERY IMPORTANT TO ME IN WRITING THE BOOK BEFORE
- 18 LAST, THE BOOK ON THE HISTORY OF THE PEACE CORPS. SO
- 19 THAT'S ONE, AND THERE ARE OTHERS AS WELL.
- 20 Q. WHY DON'T YOU JUST GIVE ME THE NAMES. I DON'T
- 21 NEED AN EXPLANATION NOW, JUST GIVE ME THE NAMES SO WE GET A
- 22 FLAVOR WHO HAS UNDERWRITTEN YOUR RESEARCH.
- 23 A. I'VE GOTTEN GRANTS FROM THE ORGANIZATION OF
- 24 AMERICAN STATES IN WASHINGTON. I'VE GOTTEN GRANTS FROM THE
- 25 AMERICAN PHILOSOPHICAL SOCIETY, WHICH IN PHILADELPHIA WAS
- 26 STARTED BY BENJAMIN FRANKLIN.
- 27 I'VE GOTTEN GRANTS FROM THE JOHN KENNEDY
- 28 LIBRARY AND THE LYNDON JOHNSON LIBRARY.

- 1 I'VE GOTTEN GRANTS FROM -- I'VE GOTTEN SPECIAL
- 2 COMPETITIVE GRANTS THAT YOU APPLY FOR FROM UNIVERSITIES AND
- 3 PRIVATE FOUNDATIONS, ONE OF WHICH WAS HERE IN LOS ANGELES,
- 4 THE MORE RECENT ONE.
- 5 SO THAT'S KIND OF A LIST OFF THE TOP.
- 6 Q. BEFORE I GO ON TO WHERE I'VE GOTTEN, HAVE YOU
- 7 EVER BEEN TO THE LYNDON JOHNSON LIBRARY?
- 8 A. HAVE I BEEN TO THE LYNDON JOHNSON LIBRARY?
- 9 OH, YES, TWO OR THREE TIMES.
- 10 Q. IT'S RIGHT ACROSS THE STREET FROM THE
- 11 UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS LAW SCHOOL?
- 12 A. I DON'T KNOW IF IT'S ACROSS FROM U.T. LAW
- 13 SCHOOL. I WOULDN'T KNOW THE LAW SCHOOL.
- 14 IT'S ACROSS FROM THE LYNDON JOHNSON CENTER FOR
- 15 GOVERNMENT. IT'S LIKE THE POLITICAL SCIENCE DEPARTMENT AND
- 16 GOVERNMENT CENTER.
- 17 Q. WHO DID YOU GET YOUR GRANT FROM TO DO THIS
- 18 PROJECT?
- 19 A. TO DO THE PROJECT I'M WORKING ON RIGHT NOW?
- 20 Q. YES.
- 21 A. THIS WAS NOT A GRANT. THIS WAS RESEARCH WHERE
- 22 I WAS HIRED TO DO RESEARCH AND PAID FOR DOING THE RESEARCH
- 23 THAT I DID, WHICH IS DIFFERENT FROM A GRANT.
- 24 Q. WHO PAID YOU TO DO THE RESEARCH?
- 25 A. I WAS PAID TO DO THE RESEARCH ON THIS CASE BY
- 26 THE LAW FIRM THAT MR. LEITER WORKS FOR.
- 27 Q. WHAT ABOUT YOUR RESEARCH ASSISTANTS, THE FOUR
- 28 OR FIVE OF THEM WHO WERE DOWN THERE FOR FOUR TO SIX WEEKS, 3912
- 1 HOW WERE THEY PAID?
- 2 A. THEY WERE ALSO PAID BY THE ATTORNEYS.
- 3 Q. YOU MENTIONED SOMETHING EARLIER ABOUT
- 4 WASHINGTON, D.C., AND SOME ATTORNEYS WHO CONTACTED YOU
- 5 ORIGINALLY. WHAT'S THAT ABOUT?
- 6 A. WELL, THIS WAS A FIRM THAT CONTACTED ME TO ASK
- 7 ME IF I WAS INTERESTED IN DOING THIS KIND OF RESEARCH, IF I
- 8 WOULD HAVE THE TIME, IF IT WAS SOMETHING I FELT WOULD BE OF

- 9 INTEREST TO ME.
- 10 SO THEY CONTACTED ME ABOUT A YEAR AND A HALF
- 11 AGO, WHICH IS WHEN I STARTED THIS PROJECT.
- 12 Q. THAT'S NOT MR. LEITER'S LAW FIRM?
- 13 A. NO, IT'S NOT. IT'S ANOTHER LAW FIRM IN
- 14 WASHINGTON, D.C.
- 15 Q. ONE OF THEIR REPRESENTATIVES IS HERE TO WATCH
- 16 YOU; RIGHT?
- 17 A. YES. YES, SHE IS.
- 18 Q. ONE OF THEIR REPRESENTATIVES WAS THERE TO
- 19 WATCH YOU GIVE A DEPOSITION?
- 20 A. YES, SHE WAS.
- 21 Q. ONE OF THEIR REPRESENTATIVES WAS THERE WHEN
- 22 YOU WENT BACK TO WASHINGTON, D.C., THREE TIMES TO HELP DO
- 23 SOME OF THE RESEARCH THAT YOU'RE TESTIFYING ABOUT TODAY?
- 24 A. OH, SOMETIMES THEY WERE, AND SOMETIMES THEY
- 25 WERE NOT.
- 26 Q. DO YOU THINK THAT TOBACCO CAUSES DISEASE?
- 27 A. WELL, I COUNT MYSELF AS A MEMBER OF THE
- 28 GENERAL PUBLIC, AND, YES, COMMON KNOWLEDGE, CERTAINLY SINCE 3913
- 1 I WAS SECOND OR THIRD GRADE, IS THAT YOU CAN'T SMOKE
- 2 CIGARETTES BECAUSE THEY CAN KILL YOU.
- 3 Q. THAT'S A "YES"?
- 4 A. YES.
- 5 Q. DO YOU THINK THAT ANYONE WHO DOESN'T KNOW THAT
- 6 TOBACCO CAUSES DISEASE IS DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED?
- 7 MR. LEITER: OBJECTION. IT'S OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF
- 8 HER EXPERTISE.
- 9 THE COURT: OVERRULED.
- 10 MR. LEITER: OKAY.
- 11 THE WITNESS: THAT MEANS I SHOULD ANSWER IT, YOUR
- 12 HONOR?
- 13 MR. LEITER: YES.
- 14 THE COURT: YES, MA'AM.
- 15 MR. LEITER WON'T INSTRUCT YOU TO ANSWER; I
- 16 WILL.
- 17 ANSWER THE QUESTION.
- 18 THE WITNESS: THANK YOU. I'M SORRY. I DON'T KNOW
- 19 THIS ETIQUETTE.
- 20 NO, I THINK -- FOR EXAMPLE, THERE ARE PEOPLE
- 21 WHO ARE DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED WHO WOULD KNOW THE
- 22 INFORMATION. BUT I DO THINK THAT PERSONS OF NORMAL
- 23 INTELLIGENCE ARE GOING TO KNOW WHAT IS KNOWN WITHIN THEIR
- 24 SOCIETY.
- 25 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: DO YOU BELIEVE THAT -- IF THERE
- 26 IS A PARTICULAR SEGMENT OF THE POPULATION THAT IS NOT AWARE
- 27 OF THE RISKS IN SMOKING, THE RISKS AND HAZARDS OF SMOKING,
- 28 THAT IT WOULD ONLY BE PEOPLE WHO ARE DEVELOPMENTALLY 3914
- 1 DISABLED, MAY NOT BE AWARE OF THE DANGERS, OR LESS LIKELY
- 2 TO BE AWARE OF THE DANGERS? AND HONESTLY, THAT'S ABOUT THE
- 3 ONLY GROUP THAT I COULD THINK OF THAT WOULD NOT BE.
- 4 DO YOU AGREE WITH THAT?
- 5 A. WELL, YES, BECAUSE I SAID THAT.
- 6 Q. ALL RIGHT.
- 7 WHICH GETS ME BACK TO WHERE I WAS. CERTAINLY
- 8 IT'S YOUR VIEW THAT FOR A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF TIME THAT'S
- 9 GONE BY NOW IN THE ADULT POPULATION, ONLY DEVELOPMENTALLY
- 10 DISABLED PEOPLE, AND NOT EVEN ALL OF THEM, COULD FAIL TO
- 11 KNOW THAT CIGARETTE SMOKING WAS VERY HARMFUL TO THEM, OKAY?
- 12 A. YES. AS I TOLD YOUR REPRESENTATIVE WHO WAS
- 13 TAKING THAT DEPOSITION AND HE WAS ASKING ME, CAN YOU THINK

- 14 OF ANYBODY WHO WOULDN'T KNOW, WHO WOULDN'T HAVE THIS COMMON
- 15 KNOWLEDGE AND SEEN T.V. AND READ NEWSPAPERS AND SEEN
- 16 BILLBOARDS AND THINGS AT BUS STOPS.
- 17 AND I WAS TRYING TO THINK OF WHO WOULDN'T.
- 18 AND REALLY THE ONLY ANSWER I COULD COME UP WITH IS SOMEBODY
- 19 WHO I THINK REALLY DOESN'T HAVE THE MENTAL CAPACITY TO
- 20 RECOGNIZE INFORMATION OR TO REMEMBER IT, YOU KNOW, MAYBE
- 21 SOMEBODY WHO LIVES ON A DESERT ISLAND SOMEPLACE, IN A CAVE,
- 22 WOULDN'T KNOW. THERE AREN'T THOSE PEOPLE REALLY.
- 23 THAT'S THE BEST I CAN DO WITH THINKING OF WHO
- 24 WOULD BE OUTSIDE THE FRAMEWORK OF COMMON KNOWLEDGE.
- 25 I THINK COMMON KNOWLEDGE IS LIKE DON'T PUT
- 26 YOUR FINGER IN THE LIGHT SOCKET. YOU NEED TO KNOW HOW TO
- 27 READ TO GET BY IN MODERN SOCIETY.
- 28 I WAS TRYING TO THINK OF WHO WOULDN'T KNOW 3915
- 1 THOSE THINGS. I THINK IT'S PEOPLE UNFORTUNATELY WHO DON'T
- 2 HAVE THE ABILITY TO KNOW THOSE THINGS.
- 3 Q. DO YOU THINK THAT CIGARETTE SMOKING KILLS
- 4 PEOPLE?
- 5 A. YEAH, I THINK IT GIVES THEM LUNG CANCER, SO IT
- 6 KILLS THEM IF THEY DIE OF IT.
- 7 Q. WE'VE HEARD HERE MANY, MANY TIMES FROM SUPER
- 8 SMART PEOPLE LUNG CANCER IS A FATAL DISEASE.
- 9 SO YOU THINK CIGARETTE SMOKING CAUSES LUNG
- 10 CANCER?
- 11 A. WELL, I'M NOT A DOCTOR SO I JUST TAKE WHAT
- 12 I'VE ALWAYS HEARD, WHICH IS THAT IT DOES. SO DO I KNOW HOW
- 13 TO EXPLAIN IT AS A PHYSICIAN? NO, I WOULDN'T.
- 14 Q. I'M NOT CHALLENGING YOU ON THAT. I'M WITH
- 15 YOU. I'M NOT AGAINST YOU, I'M WITH YOU ON THIS POINT.
- 16 I WANT TO READ YOU SOMETHING.
- 17 "IN 1997" -- EXCUSE ME --
- 18 "1998, IN MARCH, IN MINNESOTA, HOW
- 19 MANY PEOPLE WOULD HAVE TO DIE FROM
- 20 SMOKING BEFORE YOU WOULD REASSESS
- 21 YOUR DUTIES? ONE, A HUNDRED, 5,000?
- 22 HOW MANY, SIR?
- 23 "ANSWER: WELL, I DON'T KNOW
- 24 THAT ANYONE DOES. SO I'M FINDING
- 25 THAT A HARD ASSUMPTION TO MAKE."
- 26 SO I WANT TO JUST STOP THERE. IN 1998, IN
- 27 MARCH, IN MINNESOTA, FOR AN ADULT IN OUR SOCIETY TO SAY
- 28 THAT HE DIDN'T KNOW THAT ANYONE DIES FROM SMOKING, DO YOU 3916
- 1 THINK THAT PERSON WOULD HAVE TO BE DEVELOPMENTALLY
- 2 DISABLED?
- 3 A. WELL, I THINK I CAN SEE FROM YOUR TRANSCRIPT
- 4 THAT IT'S MR. BIBLE OR SOMETHING. IT SAYS IT RIGHT THERE.
- 5 I DON'T KNOW THAT HE IS DEVELOPMENTALLY
- 6 DISABLED, AND I CERTAINLY HAVE NEVER HEARD THAT, BUT I
- 7 THINK THAT HE IS OBVIOUSLY DOWNPLAYING IT. AND THAT WAS
- 8 THE CHARACTER OF WHAT WAS SAID.
- 9 Q. THAT'S NOT MY QUESTION, RESPECTFULLY.
- 10 A. YES. DO I THINK HE IS DEVELOPMENTALLY
- 11 DISABLED? I DON'T THINK SO.
- 12 Q. I DIDN'T TELL YOU IT'S MR. BIBLE. HOW CAN YOU
- 13 SEE -- WHAT DO YOU SEE?
- 14 A. IT SAYS IN RED IN BIG LETTERS ON ONE SIDE OF
- 15 THE PAGE.
- 16 Q. DO YOU KNOW WHO HE IS?
- 17 A. HE WAS, I THINK, THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OF PHILIP
- 18 MORRIS.

- 19 Q. HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT?
- 20 A. READING.
- 21 Q. WHEN DID THAT END?
- 22 A. WHEN DID HE -- I DON'T KNOW.
- 23 Q. HE IS TODAY --
- 24 A. VERY LIKELY.
- 25 Q. -- RIGHT NOW.
- 26 YOU KNOW WHAT MARKETING IS, DON'T YOU?
- 27 A. YES, I DO.
- 28 Q. WHAT'S MARKETING?
- 3917
- 1 A. IT'S SELLING THINGS.
- 2 Q. PHILIP MORRIS CORPORATION, IS IT THE LARGEST
- 3 MARKETER IN THE WORLD?
- 4 A. I BELIEVE WITH THEIR SELLING KRAFT FOODS AND
- 5 STUFF LIKE THAT, THAT IT IS. I DON'T KNOW THAT FOR A FACT.
- 6 Q. ANYWAY, WHETHER IT'S FIRST, SECOND, THIRD,
- 7 VERY ADEPT AT MARKETING IDEAS TO THE POPULACE; RIGHT?
- 8 A. I THINK THEY MARKET GOODS, SIR, NOT IDEAS.
- 9 Q. YOU DO. OKAY.
- 10 SO ANYWAY, WHEN MR. BIBLE, IN MARCH OF 1998,
- 11 IN MINNESOTA, SAID THAT HE DIDN'T KNOW THAT ANYONE DIED
- 12 FROM SMOKING, IF YOU DON'T THINK HE IS DEVELOPMENTALLY
- 13 DISABLED, WHY DO YOU THINK HE SAID THAT?
- 14 MR. LEITER: OBJECTION, CALLS FOR SPECULATION.
- 15 THE COURT: OVERRULED.
- 16 THE WITNESS: ANSWER IT?
- 17 THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE AN ANSWER?
- 18 THE WITNESS: WELL, HONESTLY YOU'RE ASKING ME WHY DID
- 19 HE SAY THAT. AND I DON'T FEEL I CAN SEE INTO HIS MIND AND
- 20 KNOW WHAT HE WAS THINKING AT THE MOMENT HE SAID THAT. I
- 21 DON'T KNOW.
- 22 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: THAT'S FINE. I WON'T PUSH
- 23 YOU.
- 24 I WANTED TO READ A LITTLE MORE, NOT MUCH.
- 25 (READING:)
- 26 "HOW MANY WOULD HAVE TO DIE
- 27 FROM YOUR PRODUCTS BEFORE YOU'D
- 28 REASSESS YOUR DUTY?
- 3918
- 1 "ANSWER: I WOULD HAVE
- 2 THOUGHT IF YOU'RE FORCING ME TO SAY
- 3 THAT SOMEBODY -- I WOULD HAVE TO
- 4 ASSUME SOMEBODY DIED. IF ONE PERSON
- 5 DIED, I WOULD REASSESS MY DUTIES.
- 6 "QUESTION: SO IF ONE PERSON
- 7 DIED FROM SMOKING YOU WOULD REASSESS
- 8 YOUR DUTIES; IS THAT CORRECT?
- 9 "ANSWER: YES, I'D REASSESS
- 10 MY DUTIES. I WOULD LOOK AT ALL OF
- 11 MY DUTIES, YES.
- 12 "QUESTION: WOULD YOU SHUT
- 13 DOWN THE BUSINESS IF ONE PERSON
- 14 DIED?
- 15 "ANSWER: YES. WELL, THAT'S
- 16 A QUESTION THAT HAS ACTUALLY BEEN
- 17 ASKED ME BEFORE PUBLICLY IN A
- 18 DEPOSITION AND I HAD SAID AT THAT
- 19 TIME PERHAPS I WOULD."
- 20 NOW, I'M GOING TO CONFESS I'M ENDING IN THE
- 21 MIDDLE OF HIS ANSWER WHERE HE BACKS AWAY FROM THAT, BUT
- 22 THAT'S WHERE I CHOOSE TO END.
- 23 (READING:)

- 24 "YES, I WOULD SHUT DOWN MY
- 25 BUSINESS IF ONE PERSON DIED. THAT
- 26 QUESTION WAS ASKED TO ME BEFORE
- 27 PUBLICLY IN A DEPOSITION, AND I SAID
- 28 AT THAT TIME, YES, I WOULD SHUT DOWN
- 3919
- 1 MY BUSINESS IF ONE PERSON DIED."
- 2 DO YOU BELIEVE THAT?
- 3 MR. LEITER: OBJECTION, RELEVANCE TO THIS WITNESS.
- 4 ARGUMENTATIVE.
- 5 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
- 6 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: DO PEOPLE IN THE COUNTRY HAVE A
- 7 RIGHT TO LISTEN TO THE LEADERS OF BUSINESS IN THIS
- 8 COUNTRY?
- 9 A. I THINK THE RIGHT IS NOT TO LISTEN; IT'S THE
- 10 RIGHT TO FREE SPEECH, IS WHAT YOU WOULD BE REFERRING TO,
- 11 THAT THE BUSINESS LEADERS HAVE A RIGHT LIKE ANYBODY UNDER
- 12 THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO SPEAK.
- 13 Q. IT'S NOT WHAT I'M REFERRING TO. BELIEVE ME, I
- 14 KNOW THERE IS A FIRST AMENDMENT. LOOK AT THAT FLAG; HERE
- 15 WE ARE. HE CAN SAY WHATEVER HE WANTS.
- 16 THAT'S NOT WHAT I ASKED. WHAT I ASKED IS IN
- 17 THE AUDIENCE, SOMEONE IN THE AUDIENCE TO LISTEN TO THIS MAN
- 18 WHO IS THE HEAD OF PERHAPS THE LARGEST MARKETING
- 19 CORPORATION IN THE WORLD, WHO SAYS SOMETHING UNDER OATH.
- 20 MR. LEITER: I OBJECT; THIS IS ARGUMENTATIVE.
- 21 MR. PIUZE: I'M SIMPLY ASKING.
- 22 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
- 23 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: DO YOU THINK MR. BOEKEN WAS
- 24 STUPID TO LISTEN TO WHAT PHILIP MORRIS TOLD HIM OVER THE
- 25 YEARS?
- 26 MR. LEITER: OBJECTION, IT'S ARGUMENTATIVE.
- 27 THE COURT: OVERRULED.
- 28 ANSWER.
- 3920
- 1 THE WITNESS: I'M SORRY?
- 2 DO I THINK HE WAS STUPID --
- 3 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: THAT'S NOT THE WHOLE QUESTION.
- 4 A. -- TO LISTEN TO PHILIP MORRIS OVER THE YEARS?
- 5 Q. DO YOU THINK HE WAS STUPID TO BELIEVE WHAT
- 6 PHILIP MORRIS TOLD HIM OVER THE YEARS?
- 7 A. I FIND IT VERY, VERY HARD TO BELIEVE THAT HE
- 8 DID BELIEVE THEM.
- 9 Q. OKAY.
- 10 DO YOU BELIEVE THAT ANYONE IN THE COUNTRY OF
- 11 ADULT AGE WOULD BE STUPID TO LISTEN TO THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE
- 12 OFFICER OF THE COMPANY I'M SUING, TESTIFY UNDER OATH?
- 13 MR. LEITER: OBJECTION, IT'S ARGUMENTATIVE, AND IT
- 14 CALLS FOR SPECULATION.
- 15 THE COURT: GIVEN WHAT YOU'VE TESTIFIED TO EARLIER,
- 16 OVERRULED.
- 17 THE WITNESS: WELL, I THINK YOU WERE SAYING -- THAT
- 18 QUOTE WAS FROM 1998, BY THE WAY, WHICH IS OUTSIDE THE
- 19 PERIOD THAT I'VE BEEN LOOKING AT.
- 20 BUT I THINK THAT PEOPLE WEREN'T LISTENING.
- 21 THEY WERE TUNING IT OUT. IN FACT, QUITE DRAMATICALLY. YOU
- 22 CAN SEE THAT, HOW NEWSPAPERS WERE TUNING IT OUT, THE PUBLIC
- 23 WAS TUNING IT OUT. THERE WAS -- AND ESPECIALLY AS WE GO
- 24 ALONG, A REAL COLLECTIVE REJECTION OF WHAT THESE FOLKS WERE
- 25 SAYING.
- 26 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: I WASN'T TALKING ABOUT 1998. I
- 27 WAS TALKING ABOUT 1994.
- 28 (COUNSEL CONFERRED SOTTO VOCE.)

- 1 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: APRIL, IF I REMEMBER
- 2 CORRECTLY, APRIL 15TH -- I MAY BE WRONG -- 1994, UNDER
- 3 OATH, PENALTY OF PERJURY, UNITED STATES CONGRESS.
- 4 (A VIDEOTAPE WAS PLAYED AND NOT REPORTED
- 5 PER STIPULATION OF COUNSEL.)
- 6 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: SO THE PERIOD OF TIME YOU WERE
- 7 DEALING WITH INCLUDED 1994; RIGHT?
- 8 A. YES. BUT I THOUGHT YOU WERE REFERRING TO THAT
- 9 EARLIER THING YOU WERE READING.
- 10 Q. OKAY. I'M NOT.
- 11 SO NOW THAT WE SQUARED THAT AWAY, IN 1994, TWO
- 12 MONTHS BEFORE MR. BOEKEN'S MOM DIED OF CANCER, AT WHICH
- 13 TIME HE THEN SAID FOR SURE NOW I KNOW; TWO MONTHS BEFORE
- 14 THAT HAPPENED, WHEN A PERSON IN A RESPONSIBLE -- LET'S STOP
- 15 FOR A SECOND.
- 16 IN OUR SOCIETY NOW, GOOD OR BAD, RIGHT OR
- 17 WRONG, ARE WE SUPPOSED TO LOOK UP TO THE HEADS OF MAJOR
- 18 BUSINESS ORGANIZATIONS?
- 19 A. I DON'T THINK THEY ARE CONSIDERED THE MORAL
- 20 LEADERS OF OUR SOCIETY.
- 21 Q. IS THAT A "NO"?
- 22 A. IS THAT ENOUGH?
- 23 Q. IS THAT A "NO"?
- 24 A. NO.
- 25 Q. BECAUSE I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT MORAL LEADERS.
- 26 I WOULDN'T EVEN THINK ABOUT DOING THAT FOR A SECOND.
- 27 ARE WE SUPPOSED TO LISTEN TO WHAT SOMEONE WHO
- 28 IS THE HEAD OF ONE OF THE LARGEST CORPORATIONS IN THE WORLD 3922
- 1 SAYS UNDER OATH HERE IN THIS COUNTRY AS OF 1994?
- 2 MR. LEITER: VAGUE AND ARGUMENTATIVE.
- 3 THE COURT: OVERRULED. SHE DID TESTIFY AS TO PUBLIC
- 4 ATTITUDES AS TO CORPORATIONS AND THE CHANGE OVER THE
- 5 YEARS.
- 6 OVERRULED.
- 7 THE WITNESS: I DON'T THINK THAT WE ARE SUPPOSED TO
- 8 LISTEN, SO THAT'S A NO.
- 9 I THINK SOME PEOPLE DO LISTEN, AND NOTED JUST
- 10 NOW THAT WAS ON C-SPAN. AND I READ THAT TESTIMONY. I HAVE
- 11 NOT SEEN IT.
- 12 I KNOW THAT MR. WAXMAN IS ABOVE MR. CAMPBELL
- 13 AND LECTURING DOWN TO HIM. IF I HAD BEEN WATCHING THAT ON
- 14 C-SPAN AS IT WAS BEING PLAYED, MY TAKE AWAY ON THAT WOULD
- 15 HAVE BEEN THAT WAXMAN WAS EXCORIATING HIM, THAT HE WAS
- 16 SAYING, I CAN'T BELIEVE YOU DON'T BELIEVE THIS. THIS IS
- 17 RIDICULOUS.
- 18 HE WAS KIND OF GIVING THEM A PUBLIC TALKING
- 19 TO. THAT'S WHAT I WOULD HAVE TAKEN AWAY FROM WHAT THAT
- 20 EXECUTIVE WAS SAYING.
- 21 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: FIRST OF ALL, IT'S OBVIOUS TO
- 22 EVERYONE, WAXMAN WAS -- IT'S ALMOST KIND OF LAUGHABLE
- 23 NOW -- PROBABLY DIDN'T HIT HIM HARD ENOUGH.
- 24 MR. LEITER: OBJECTION.
- 25 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
- 26 MR. PIUZE: I APOLOGIZE.
- 27 Q. IT'S OBVIOUS WAXMAN WAS TRYING TO WHAP HIM
- 28 AROUND, BUT THAT'S NOT WHAT I ASKED.
- 3923
- 1 WHAT I ASKED WAS, AS OF 1994, CITIZENS OF OUR
- 2 COUNTRY, ADULT CITIZENS OF THIS COUNTRY, LISTENING TO ONE
- 3 OF THE HEADS OF THE LARGEST BUSINESS ENTERPRISES IN THE
- 4 WORLD SPEAK, IS THAT THE KIND OF PERSON THAT YOU THINK WE

- 5 SHOULD BE LISTENING TO?
- 6 A. DO I PERSONALLY BELIEVE I SHOULD GO FOR MY
- 7 INFORMATION TO THAT SORT OF PERSON?
- 8 Q. NO. YOU KNOW, I APOLOGIZE, BUT YOUR PERSONAL
- 9 FEELINGS, WITH ALL DUE RESPECT -- I DON'T MEAN THIS IN A
- 10 NEGATIVE WAY -- AREN'T PART OF THE TRIAL. YOU'RE UP THERE
- 11 AS AN EXPERT WITNESS, EXPERT HISTORIAN. YOU KNOW ALL ABOUT
- 12 AMERICAN HISTORY.
- 13 I'M POINTING OUT TO YOU THAT THIS GUY'S THE
- 14 HEAD OF ONE OF THE LARGEST BUSINESS ENTERPRISES IN THE
- 15 WORLD, HE IS SAYING SOMETHING IN 1994. I'M SIMPLY ASKING,
- 16 DO OUR CITIZENS HAVE A RIGHT TO LISTEN TO WHAT HE IS
- 17 SAYING?
- 18 A. YES, THEY HAVE A LEGAL RIGHT TO LISTEN. I
- 19 THINK THAT THEY DID NOT BELIEVE IT AT ALL, HOWEVER, AND
- 20 THIS WAS IMMEDIATELY TAKEN AND PUT ON THE AIRWAVES TO SHOW
- 21 IN PROPOSITION 99 FUNDS. IT WAS WITHIN FOUR MONTHS THAT
- 22 WAS ON THE T.V. AND EVERYBODY WAS BEING ASKED, DO THEY
- 23 THINK WE'RE STUPID?
- 24 SO THEY HAVE A RIGHT TO LISTEN, BUT I THINK
- 25 OVERWHELMINGLY THEY DIDN'T BELIEVE IT.
- 26 Q. YOU'VE ANSWERED MY QUESTION, PLUS ABOUT 99
- 27 OTHERS THAT I MAY OR MAY NOT HAVE ASKED. I DIDN'T ASK
- 28 ABOUT A LEGAL RIGHT. AGAIN, OBVIOUSLY, WE HAVE A LEGAL 3924
- 1 RIGHT TO LISTEN. WE KNOW IN AMERICA WE HAVE A LEGAL RIGHT
- 2 TO LISTEN.
- 3 AS A HISTORIAN HAVE THINGS GOTTEN SO OUT OF
- 4 HAND THAT THE POPULOUS SHOULD LISTEN TO THE HEAD OF ONE OF
- 5 THE LARGEST CORPORATIONS IN THE WORLD AND JUST SAY, THAT
- 6 GUY IS A LYING SACK? IS THAT HOW FAR IT'S GONE?
- 7 MR. LEITER: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE.
- 8 THE COURT: OVERRULED. IS THAT WHERE WE ARE
- 9 HISTORICALLY?
- 10 THE WITNESS: I THINK THAT IS WHERE WE ARE
- 11 HISTORICALLY WITH THIS CORPORATION, THAT HISTORICALLY
- 12 PEOPLE, AS THE L.A. TIMES PEOPLE PUT IT, THEY WIDELY
- 13 DERIDED WHAT WAS SAID. THEY THOUGHT THESE WERE THE BAD
- 14 GUYS IN THE MOVIE, AND SO, YES, I BELIEVE THAT.
- 15 I'M SORRY, YOUR QUESTIONS, HONESTLY, ARE NOT
- 16 PHRASED IN A WAY WHICH I FIND THEM CLEAR. SO I'M TRYING MY
- 17 VERY BEST TO ANSWER IT FULLY.
- 18 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: I'M NOT AN ACADEMIC, BUT I DID
- 19 BUY THE L.A. TIMES TODAY. IN SECTION "E" -- HAVE YOU SEEN
- 20 THIS?
- 21 A. I SAW THE FRONT COVER. I'VE BEEN HERE ALL
- 22 DAY. I HAVEN'T HAD A CHANCE TO READ THE LIVING SECTION.
- 23 Q. WHEN IS THE LAST TIME YOU READ A
- 24 SMOKING-RELATED TOBACCO-RELATED ARTICLE IN THE L.A. TIMES?
- 25 A. I THINK YESTERDAY.
- 26 Q. WHAT WAS IT?
- 27 A. I'M TRYING TO REMEMBER WHAT THAT ONE WAS
- 28 ABOUT. I JUST CAN'T REMEMBER WHAT IT WAS ABOUT. THERE WAS 3925
- 1 SOMETHING IN THERE YESTERDAY, AND I WENT, OH, WHAT DO YOU
- 2 KNOW, THERE IT IS.
- 3 Q. EVEN THOUGH YOU READ IT YESTERDAY ON TOBACCO,
- 4 YOU'RE HERE TO TESTIFY ON TOBACCO, YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT IT
- 5 SAID?
- 6 A. I DON'T REMEMBER THE PARTICULAR ARTICLE.
- 7 Q. THERE IS ACTUALLY ONE IN THE NEWSPAPER TODAY.
- 8 I'M GOING TO DISCUSS IT WITH YOU A LITTLE LATER.
- 9 HERE IS WHY I PULLED OUT THE L.A. TIMES NOW.

```
10 THIS IS SECTION "E," THE LIVING SECTION, RIGHT FROM TODAY'S
11 NEWSPAPER.
12 AND THE LEAD STORY SAYS WHAT? JUST READ THE
13 HEADLINE.
14 A. THE LEAD STORY HERE, THE HEADLINE HERE, IT
15 SAYS, "WHAT'S HAPPENED TO AMERICA'S MORAL CLIMATE?"
16 Q. NOW, READ THE NEXT SUBHEAD RIGHT UNDER IT.
17 A. IT SAYS, "SCHOLARS AND OTHER THINKERS PONDER
18 WHETHER PEOPLE KNOW RIGHT FROM WRONG AND CAN RESHAPE A
19 SHARED MORAL CENTER."
20 Q. DO YOU THINK -- I'M GOING TO FEED YOU TWO EASY
21 ONES. NUMBER ONE, IT'S GOOD TO KNOW RIGHT FROM WRONG,
22 ISN'T IT?
23 A. I BELIEVE SO.
24 Q. IT'S GOOD TO HAVE A MORAL CENTER IN THIS
25 COUNTRY, ISN'T IT?
26 A. I BELIEVE SO.
27 Q. DO YOU THINK THERE IS ANY TRICKLE-DOWN EFFECT
28 INTO THE POPULATION WHEN THE PRESIDENT OF ONE OF THE
3926
1 LARGEST CORPORATIONS IN THE WORLD, BY YOUR OWN RECKONING,
2 SHOULDN'T BE TRUSTED, SHOULDN'T BE BELIEVED, AND WHAT HE
3 SAID SHOULD NOT EVEN BE TAKEN AT FACE VALUE?
4 HOW DOES THAT CONTRIBUTE TO THE MORAL CLIMATE
5 OF OUR COUNTRY TODAY?
6 A. I THINK IT'S LIKE PRESIDENT CLINTON'S PROBLEMS
7 A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO. IT'S NOT GOOD. IT'S NOT GOOD.
8 Q. PRESIDENT CLINTON'S PROBLEMS FROM A COUPLE OF
9 YEARS AGO DIDN'T KILL 400,000 PEOPLE A YEAR, DID IT?
10 MR. LEITER: OBJECTION. WE'RE IN ARGUMENT.
11 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
12 MR. PIUZE: I CAN KEEP GOING. IT'S ALMOST 3:00
13 O'CLOCK STRAIGHT UP.
14 IS THERE ANYTHING YOU WANTED TO DO, YOUR
15 HONOR?
16 THE COURT: THANK YOU.
17 LET'S TAKE A BREAK UNTIL 3:15 THIS AFTERNOON.
18 DON'T DISCUSS THE CASE.
19
20 (RECESS.)
2.1
2.2
23
24
25
2.6
27
28
1 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
2 IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE
3 OF THE JURY:)
4 THE CLERK: THIS IS THE CLERK OF THE COURT.
5 FOR THE RECORD, THERE WAS SOME MISLABELED
6 EXHIBITS, AND THE CORRECT LABEL OF EXHIBITS IS 11,021,
7 IDENTIFIED AS JUNE 9TH, '91 QUOTE PAGE; 11,022 -- AND THAT
8 IS A JUNE -- MARCH 8TH, 1982 QUOTE PAGE.
9 THERE IS A CORRECTION ON 21. IT'S JUNE 9TH,
10 1981. 11,023, WHICH IS A 5-3-94 QUOTE PAGE.
11 11,025, WHICH IS THE SURGEON GENERAL'S
12 REPORT.
13 11,026, GRAPH OF HOW MANY SMOKERS THERE WERE.
14 AND 11,027, WHICH IS A GRAPH OF SMOKING
```

- 15 RATES.
- 16 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
- 17 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD
- 18 IN OPEN COURT WITHIN THE PRESENCE
- 19 OF THE JURY:)
- 20 THE COURT: OUR JURY PANEL IS PRESENT. COUNSEL ARE
- 21 PRESENT, AS WELL. THE WITNESS IS ON THE STAND.
- 22 MA'AM, YOU UNDERSTAND YOU'RE STILL UNDER OATH?
- 23 THE WITNESS: YES, I DO.
- 24 THE COURT: PROCEED.
- 25 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: LET ME SLIGHTLY SHIFT GEARS.
- 26 YOU TOLD THE JURY THAT AMONG OTHER STUFF THAT
- 27 YOU REVIEWED IN ORDER TO RESEARCH THE ISSUE WAS THE
- 28 DEPOSITION OF MY CLIENT AND HIS WIFE, AND AT LEAST ONE OF 3928
- 1 HIS KIDS; RIGHT?
- 2 A. THAT'S TRUE.
- 3 Q. WHAT'S HIS WIFE'S NAME?
- 4 A. JUDY.
- 5 Q. I WANTED TO SHOW YOU A PORTION OF HER
- 6 DEPOSITION HERE. I'VE GOT VOLUME 1, AND I'M LOOKING AT
- 7 PAGE -- IT'S ROUGHLY 49 THROUGH 51.
- 8 MR. LEITER: CAN I SEE IT, PLEASE?
- 9 MR. PIUZE: SURE.
- 10 MR. LEITER: THIS IS THE TRIAL TESTIMONY?
- 11 MR. PIUZE: NO, IT'S A DEPOSITION.
- 12 OKAY.
- 13 Q. NOW THAT HE IS DONE LOOKING, THERE WERE THREE
- 14 DIFFERENT VOLUMES OF HER DEPOSITION; RIGHT?
- 15 A. I DON'T REMEMBER HOW MANY VOLUMES THERE WERE.
- 16 I READ THEM ALL.
- 17 Q. I WANTED TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS FROM THE
- 18 FIRST VOLUME OF HER DEPOSITION, AND THIS WAS TAKEN ON
- 19 JANUARY 12TH, 2001, OKAY?
- 20 AND LET ME SHOW YOU WHAT I'M GOING TO ASK YOU
- 21 ABOUT IT HERE.
- 22 ON PAGE 49 MR. LEITER ASKED HER: "DOES
- 23 SMOKING CAUSE LUNG CANCER?"
- 24 DO YOU SEE THAT?
- 25 A. YES, I DO.
- 26 Q. DID YOU READ THAT DEPOSITION, OR DID YOU SEE A
- 27 VIDEOTAPE OF HER OR WHAT?
- 28 A. NO, I READ IT.
- 3929
- 1 Q. SO JANUARY, 2001, DOES SMOKING CAUSE LUNG
- 2 CANCER?
- 3 AND JUST SO THE JURY WILL KNOW WHAT'S
- 4 HAPPENING HERE, THERE WAS SOME COMMENTS BY ME; RIGHT?
- 5 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 6 Q. LET'S GET TO HER ANSWER. WHAT DOES SHE SAY?
- 7 A. SHE SAID, "A LOT OF DOCTORS CAN'T ANSWER THE
- 8 QUESTION."
- 9 Q. AND MR. LEITER'S NEXT QUESTION IS WHAT?
- 10 A. "DO YOU HAVE A BELIEF AS TO WHETHER SMOKING
- 11 CAUSES LUNG CANCER?"
- 12 Q. HER ANSWER?
- 13 A. "I'M NOT SURE WHAT TO BELIEVE."
- 14 Q. YOU'RE DOING SO WELL, BE MR. LEITER AGAIN.
- 15 A. ALL RIGHT.
- 16 "WHAT DO YOU MEAN?"
- 17 Q. AND MRS. BOEKEN?
- 18 A. "I HAVE HEARD CONFLICTING THINGS, AND I'M NOT
- 19 SURE WHAT TO BELIEVE, THAT SOME PEOPLE MIGHT NOT AND OTHER

- 20 PEOPLE DO."
- 21 Q. GO AHEAD
- 22 A. (READING:)
- 23 "THAT'S YOUR BELIEF AS WE SIT
- 24 HERE TODAY?
- 25 "UH-HUH. YES.
- 26 "TELL ME WHAT CONFLICTING
- 27 THINGS YOU HAVE HEARD?
- 28 "ANSWER: THAT SOME PEOPLE
- 3930
- 1 SMOKE THEIR WHOLE LIFE AND DON'T GET
- 2 CANCER AND THAT SOME PEOPLE SMOKE
- 3 AND GET LUNG CANCER, EMPHYSEMA, AND
- 4 OTHER CANCERS.
- 5 "HAVE YOU FINISHED YOUR
- 6 ANSWER?
- 7 "YES."
- 8 Q. GO A LITTLE FURTHER.
- 9 A. DO YOU WANT ME TO JUST GO TO THE END?
- 10 Q. GO TO RIGHT ABOUT THERE, WHICH IS THE END OF
- 11 THE TOPIC, LINE 23.
- 12 A. ALL RIGHT.
- 13 (READING:)
- 14 "HAVE YOU FINISHED YOUR
- 15 ANSWER?
- 16 "YES.
- 17 "ANY OTHER CONFLICTING
- 18 INFORMATION YOU HAVE HEARD?
- 19 "ANSWER: THAT'S ALL I CAN
- 20 REMEMBER RIGHT NOW.
- 21 "QUESTION: DO YOU BELIEVE
- 22 SMOKING CAUSES LUNG CANCER IN SOME
- 23 PEOPLE?
- 24 "ANSWER: YES.
- 25 "QUESTION: DO YOU BELIEVE
- 26 SMOKING CAUSES EMPHYSEMA, HEART
- 27 DISEASE AND OTHER DISEASES IN SOME
- 28 PEOPLE?
- 3931
- 1 "ANSWER: YES.
- 2 "QUESTION: HOW LONG HAVE
- 3 YOU BELIEVED SMOKING CAUSES DISEASES
- 4 IN SOME PEOPLE?
- 5 "ANSWER: PERHAPS THE LAST
- 6 10 YEARS.
- 7 "QUESTION: WHAT HAPPENED ABOUT
- 8 10 YEARS AGO THAT CAUSED YOU TO HAVE
- 9 THAT BELIEF?
- 10 "ANSWER: I THINK THAT THAT'S
- 11 WHEN THERE WERE MORE NEWS REPORTS
- 12 ABOUT IT."
- 13 Q. THANK YOU. NOW, FROM WHAT YOU COULD READ OF
- 14 THE TRANSCRIPT, MRS. BOEKEN IS NOT DEVELOPMENTALLY
- 15 DISABLED; RIGHT?
- 16 A. I HAVE NOT HEARD THAT SHE WAS, CERTAINLY.
- 17 Q. AND IN 2001 SHE SAID, I'M NOT SURE WHAT THE
- 18 BELIEF IS, A LOT OF DOCTORS CAN'T ANSWER THE QUESTION.
- 19 I'VE HEARD A LOT OF CONFLICTING THINGS. I'M NOT SURE WHAT
- 20 TO BELIEVE. SOME PEOPLE MIGHT, SOME PEOPLE MIGHT NOT.
- 21 SOME PEOPLE SMOKE THEIR WHOLE LIVES AND DON'T GET CANCER.
- 22 SOME PEOPLE SMOKE AND GET LUNG CANCER.
- 23 NOW, DO YOU HAVE ANY IDEA AS A RESULT OF ALL
- 24 THE RESEARCH YOU'VE DONE, WHY SHE THOUGHT THERE WAS A

- 25 CONFLICT ABOUT THAT?
- 26 A. WELL, I BELIEVE THAT FROM WHAT SHE SAID THERE
- 27 THAT SHE UNDERSTOOD THAT SOME PEOPLE WILL AND SOME PEOPLE
- 28 WON'T, WHICH IS WHAT DR. BRESLOW SAID WHEN HE
- 1 TOLD CALIFORNIANS IN 1959 THAT IT'S RUSSIAN ROULETTE, WHICH
- 2 IS A ONE-IN-SIX CHANCE, RUSSIAN ROULETTE.
- 3 IT SOUNDS TO ME FROM WHAT YOU READ THERE AND
- 4 WHAT I RESTATED THAT THAT'S PART OF WHAT HER CONFLICT WAS
- 5 ABOUT.
- 6 Q. HAVE YOU EVER HEARD THE CONCEPT THAT IT WAS
- 7 THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY'S STRATEGY TO PURPOSEFULLY CREATE
- 8 DOUBT ABOUT THE HEALTH TRADE? HAVE YOU EVER HEARD THAT?
- 9 MR. LEITER: OBJECTION AS BEYOND THE SCOPE OF HER
- 10 WORD.
- 11 THE COURT: OVERRULED. THIS IS ABOUT HISTORY.
- 12 THE WITNESS: WELL, I THINK YOU SAID THAT IN YOUR
- 13 COMPLAINT. I READ THE COMPLAINT IN THIS CASE. CERTAINLY
- 14 I'M FAMILIAR WITH THAT.
- 15 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: ACTUALLY, I HAVEN'T READ IT, AT
- 16 LEAST NOT FOR A LONG TIME.
- 17 IT WAS A LONG COMPLAINT, WASN'T IT?
- 18 A. YES, IT WAS SUBSTANTIAL.
- 19 Q. ASIDE FROM MY COMPLAINT -- MEANING THE
- 20 LAWSUIT, RIGHT, COMPLAINT EQUALS LAWSUIT --
- 21 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 22 Q. -- HAVE YOU EVER HEARD FROM THE TOBACCO
- 23 INDUSTRY'S OWN MOUTH, OWN DOCUMENTS, ITS OWN HAND, THAT IT
- 24 WAS THEIR STATED INDUSTRY-WIDE STRATEGY TO CREATE DOUBT IN
- 25 THE MINDS OF AMERICAN CONSUMERS ABOUT THE HEALTH ISSUE?
- 26 A. I HAVE NOT HEARD THAT FROM THEIR OWN MOUTHS.
- 27 Q. NOW, I'D LIKE TO SHOW YOU A BRIEF CLIP, AND
- 28 THIS ONE ISN'T GEOFFREY BIBLE IN 1998 IN MINNESOTA. AND 3933
- 1 THIS ONE ISN'T THE C.E.O. MURPHY IN 1994 IN WASHINGTON,
- 2 D.C.
- 3 THIS IS A MAN NAMED BOWLING WHO IS THE VICE
- 4 PRESIDENT OF THE CORPORATION IN NEW YORK CITY IN 1976. AND
- 5 I'LL SHOW YOU THAT IN A SECOND.
- 6 BUT BEFORE I DO, I'D JUST LIKE TO QUESTION YOU
- 7 ON THIS. I'D JUST LIKE TO REMIND YOU, A LOT OF DOCTORS
- 8 CAN'T ANSWER THE QUESTION. I'VE HEARD CONFLICTING THINGS.
- 9 SOME PEOPLE DO, SOME PEOPLE DON'T. SOME PEOPLE WHO NEVER
- 10 SMOKE GET CANCER. SOME PEOPLE WHO SMOKE FOREVER DON'T GET
- 11 CANCER, OKAY? CAN DO YOU THAT FOR ME, PLEASE, DOCTOR?
- 12 (A VIDEOTAPE WAS PLAYED AND NOT REPORTED
- 13 PER STIPULATION OF COUNSEL.)
- 14 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: SO IT SOUNDS LIKE MRS. BOEKEN,
- 15 DOESN'T IT?
- 16 A. NO, I DON'T THINK IT SOUNDS LIKE MRS. BOEKEN
- 17 AT ALL.
- 18 Q. THE WORDS SOUND LIKE THE SAME WORDS SHE WAS
- 19 SAYING 30 YEARS LATER?
- 20 A. NO, THEY DON'T TO ME.
- 21 Q. THEY DON'T SOUND THE SAME TO YOU?
- 22 A. NO, I DON'T THINK SHE WAS SAYING I COULD GET
- 23 SMOKING (SIC) FROM BREATHING THE AIR IN NEW YORK. I DON'T
- 24 THINK HIS WORDS WERE HER WORDS, HONESTLY.
- 25 Q. SHE SAID SHE HAD HEARD A LOT OF CONFLICTING
- 26 THINGS; RIGHT?
- 27 A. YES, AS I JUST READ.
- 28 Q. YOU'RE TELLING THE JURY THERE WERE NO
- 3934

- 1 CONFLICTING THINGS; RIGHT?
- 2 A. NO, I'M NOT.
- 3 Q. I THOUGHT THE THRUST OF YOUR TESTIMONY --
- 4 FORGIVE ME IF I'M WRONG, I THOUGHT THE THRUST OF YOUR
- 5 TESTIMONY WAS THAT BY 1964 THE DANGER HAD BEEN ESTABLISHED,
- 6 IT WAS A DONE DEAL. THAT'S YOUR TESTIMONY, ISN'T IT?
- 7 A. YES, IT IS.
- 8 Q. SO IF BY 1964 THE DANGER HAD BEEN ESTABLISHED,
- 9 IT WAS A DONE DEAL, WHERE DOES HER CONFLICT COME FROM?
- 10 MR. LEITER: OBJECTION, COMES FROM SPECULATION.
- 11 THE COURT: OVERRULED.
- 12 HISTORICALLY, MA'AM.
- 13 THE WITNESS: OKAY. THAT'S HELPFUL.
- 14 I THINK THAT PART OF WHAT SHE SEEMED TO ME --
- 15 AT LEAST AS I READ THAT -- TO BE REFERRING TO WAS THE
- 16 PROBLEM OF YOU DON'T KNOW IF YOU'LL GET IT. SOME PEOPLE
- 17 GET IT AND SOME PEOPLE DON'T, AND WE KNOW THAT'S TRUE.
- 18 AND I DIDN'T SAY, I BELIEVE, IN MY TESTIMONY,
- 19 THAT THERE WASN'T CONFLICTING INFORMATION, BECAUSE I SHOWED
- 20 YOU AND SHOWED THE JURY THE PILE OF 41, RIGHT, WHERE THE
- 21 TOBACCO INDUSTRY SAID, YOU KNOW THIS IS OUR STAND AND OUR
- 22 POSITION. AND I MENTIONED THAT THERE WERE REFERENCES IN
- 23 THE LARGER PILE, IN ABOUT FIVE PERCENT OF THE CASES, VERY
- 24 BRIEFLY, TO WHAT THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY STATEMENT WAS.
- 24 BRIEFEI, 10 WHAT THE TOBACCO INDUSTRI STATEMENT W
- 25 SO I CERTAINLY WOULDN'T TESTIFY THAT THERE WAS
- 26 NO -- THAT THERE WAS NEVER ANY DISCUSSIONS THAT SMOKING
- 27 COULD -- YOU KNOW, THAT THE EFFORTS -- THAT THE RESULTS
- 28 WEREN'T CONCLUSIVE OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. I HOPE I MADE 3935
- 1 THAT CLEAR.
- 2 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: I DON'T KNOW. WHEN YOU TOOK
- 3 THIS WORK -- IT WASN'T YOU -- WHEN MR. LEITER TOOK THIS
- 4 HUGE BOX OF ALL THE STUFF THAT WAS THIS HIGH, (INDICATING),
- 5 OVER ON THIS SIDE WAS THIS TEENY LITTLE THING THAT YOU
- 6 COULD HARDLY SEE, WASN'T THE THRUST OF YOUR TESTIMONY THAT
- 7 THERE WAS VIRTUALLY NO CONFLICTING INFORMATION, AND
- 8 CERTAINLY IN LATER YEARS, NOTHING? ISN'T THAT WHAT WE
- 9 HEARD FROM YOU?
- 10 A. AND YOU'RE CHANGING YOUR SENTENCE, I THINK.
- 11 YES, VIRTUALLY THERE WAS NO CONFLICTING
- 12 INFORMATION. THE OVERWHELMING MESSAGE THAT WE ALL GOT,
- 13 THAT THIS FAMILY GOT, WAS THAT SMOKING WAS BAD FOR YOU.
- 14 I REMEMBER MR. BOEKEN'S STEPSON SAYING THAT HE
- 15 KNEW BY THE TIME HE GOT OUT OF THIRD GRADE -- AND I HAVE TO
- 16 SAY THAT'S MY FEELING ABOUT MY OWN LIFE AND WHAT I'VE ALSO
- 17 SEEN AS A HISTORIAN -- DOES SOMEONE SOMETIMES SAY SOMETHING
- 18 TO YOU CONFLICTING THAT GOES AGAINST ALL YOUR OTHER
- 19 KNOWLEDGE? YES, BECAUSE IT'S A BIG WORLD OUT THERE. BUT
- 20 DID PEOPLE GET THE MESSAGE? ABSOLUTELY.
- 21 Q. SEE THOSE BLUE LINES DOWN THERE?
- 22 A. YES.
- 23 Q. 1998?
- 24 A. YES, I DO.
- 25 Q. HOW MANY PEOPLE IS THAT?
- 26 A. I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY MILLIONS IT WOULD BE. I
- 27 KNOW THE PERCENTAGES, SIR.
- 28 Q. HOW MANY MILLION PEOPLE SMOKE IN THE UNITED 3936
- 1 STATES?
- 2 A. I DON'T KNOW. I CAN TELL YOU ABOUT THE
- 3 PREVALENCE OF IT. I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY SEPARATE
- 4 INDIVIDUALS THAT TALLIES UP TO BE.
- 5 Q. DO YOU KNOW HOW MANY MILLION PEOPLE SMOKED

- 6 BACK HERE, (INDICATING)?
- 7 A. NO, BECAUSE IT'S A PERCENTAGE OF THE
- 8 POPULATION. AND THE POPULATION HAS GROWN, SO IT WOULD BE
- 9 40 PERCENT OR 45 PERCENT OF A SMALLER POPULATION THAN
- 10 TODAY.
- 11 Q. THAT'S A REAL POINT, ISN'T IT? ALTHOUGH THIS
- 12 LINE GOES DOWN, DOWN, DOWN, THAT'S A PERCENTAGE OF THE
- 13 POPULATION THAT'S GONE DOWN, DOWN, DOWN?
- 14 A. YES, THAT'S TRUE.
- 15 Q. BUT THE POPULATION IS GOING UP, UP, UP?
- 16 A. YES, IN THE UNITED STATES THE POPULATION IS
- 17 STILL CLIMBING.
- 18 Q. WHAT'S THE FIRST YEAR ON THE CHART?
- 19 A. THIS FIRST YEAR ON THIS CHART IS 1965.
- 20 Q. WHAT WAS THE POPULATION IN THE UNITED STATES
- 21 IN 1965?
- 22 A. I'M GOING TO GUESS AROUND 200 MILLION, MAYBE
- 23 180. BUT SOMEWHERE IN THERE.
- 24 Q. I WAS GOING TO SAY SOMETHING ABOUT YOUR MATH,
- 25 BUT I WON'T.
- 26 NOW, WHAT'S THE POPULATION OF THE UNITED
- 27 STATES TODAY?
- 28 A. THE POPULATION TODAY IS CLOSER TO THE 3937
- 1 300 MILLION PEOPLE.
- 2 Q. SO YOU'RE SAYING IT ALMOST DOUBLED?
- 3 A. THE POPULATION HAS GONE WAY UP, ABSOLUTELY,
- 4 PRIMARILY BECAUSE OF IMMIGRATION AFTER 1965.
- 5 Q. THAT'S FINE. AT ANY RATE, ALTHOUGH THAT LINE
- 6 GOES DOWN, DOWN, DOWN, PERCENTAGEWISE, IF THE POPULATION
- 7 HAS ALMOST DOUBLED, THE NUMBER OF SMOKERS WOULD BE ALMOST
- 8 THE SAME, WOULDN'T IT?
- 9 A. I DON'T KNOW THAT IT WOULD BE ALMOST THE
- 10 SAME. I THINK THAT WOULD BE AN INTERESTING DEMOGRAPHIC
- 11 QUESTION THAT YOU COULD INVESTIGATE TOGETHER.
- 12 BUT IT'S CERTAINLY TRUE, LIKE COFFEE DRINKERS
- 13 OR SOMETHING. HOW MANY PEOPLE DRINK COFFEE? THE MORE
- 14 PEOPLE YOU HAVE, YES, NUMERICALLY THE NUMBERS WOULD GO UP.
- 15 BUT I THINK WHAT'S IMPORTANT TO PEOPLE IN THE PUBLIC HEALTH
- 16 COMMUNITY AND WHY THEY HAVE FELT THAT THEY WERE A SUCCESS
- 17 IS BECAUSE GETTING PEOPLE TO DO THE RIGHT THING, THE NUMBER
- 18 OF PEOPLE WHO ARE DOING THAT RIGHT THING IS PROPORTIONALLY
- 19 A MUCH BIGGER PERCENTAGE OF THE POPULATION.
- 20 Q. LET ME TRY THIS. I'M GOING TO USE YOUR
- 21 NUMBERS JUST FOR THE HECK OF IT.
- 22 IF THERE WERE 180 MILLION PEOPLE IN THE
- 23 COUNTRY, AND 40 PERCENT SMOKED, I THINK THAT COMES UP TO
- 24 72 MILLION PEOPLE. I MAY BE WRONG. I THINK THAT'S RIGHT.
- 25 NOW, IF THERE WERE ALMOST 300 MILLION PEOPLE
- 26 IN THE COUNTRY, WHAT PERCENTAGE ARE SMOKING?
- 27 A. IN CALIFORNIA IT'S 18 PERCENT.
- 28 Q. IS THIS A CALIFORNIA CHART? 3938
- 1 A. NO. IN THE UNITED STATES IT'S 24 PERCENT,
- 2 APPROXIMATELY.
- 3 Q. LET'S ROUND IT OFF TO A QUARTER, OKAY,
- 4 INSTEAD OF 24, TO MAKE IT EASIER FOR ME. LET'S MAKE IT
- 5 25 PERCENT.
- 6 IF THERE IS ABOUT 300 MILLION PEOPLE IN THE
- 7 COUNTRY, A QUARTER OF THAT IS 75 MILLION PEOPLE.
- 8 72 MILLION -- THESE ARE JUST ROUND NUMBERS --
- 9 1965. 75 MILLION IN THE YEAR 2001. SO I GUESS THE NUMBER
- 10 OF SMOKERS, IF THAT'S RIGHT, HASN'T DECLINED AT ALL, HAS

- 11 IT?
- 12 A. NOT IN TERMS OF PERSONS, IF YOU COUNT IT THAT
- 13 WAY, NOT THAT WE'VE GOT THE EXACT FIGURES. BUT, YES, THAT
- 14 WOULD BE TRUE.
- 15 Q. WE HEARD SOME TESTIMONY IN THE LAST DAY OR
- 16 SO -- I FORGET WHERE IT WAS -- SOMEONE SAID AT ONE POINT
- 17 THERE WAS 70 MILLION SMOKERS. I THINK IT WAS THIS GUY
- 18 BOWLING, IN 1976, BEING INTERVIEWED FOR PHILIP MORRIS, SAYS
- 19 THERE IS 70 MILLION SMOKERS IN AMERICA IN 1976.
- 20 ANYWAY, IF THERE ARE 75 MILLION SMOKERS IN
- 21 AMERICA, IT DOESN'T MATTER TO ME IF IT'S 65 OR 60 OR 50,
- 22 IT'S A LOT OF PEOPLE, ISN'T IT?
- 23 A. YES, IT'S A LOT OF PEOPLE.
- 24 Q. CIGARETTES KILL; RIGHT?
- 25 A. WELL, I THINK THEY DO, YES.
- 26 Q. ARE THEY GETTING CONFLICTING INFORMATION?
- 27 A. I DON'T THINK SO.
- 28 Q. DO YOU HAPPEN TO KNOW ONE WAY OR THE OTHER IN 3939
- 1 1998 IN MINNESOTA, WHETHER THE PHILIP MORRIS COMPANY
- 2 ADMITTED OR DENIED THAT SMOKING CAUSED LUNG CANCER?
- 3 A. WELL, IT SOUNDED LIKE FROM THE TRANSCRIPT THAT
- 4 YOU WERE READING EARLIER THAT THEY SAID THAT IT WAS
- 5 INCONCLUSIVE OR SOMETHING TO THAT EFFECT, FROM WHAT YOU
- 6 JUST READ. THAT'S MY IMPRESSION OF IT.
- 7 Q. THAT WAS A GOOD IMPRESSION.
- 8 MR. LEITER: OBJECT TO COMMENTARY.
- 9 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
- 10 MR. PIUZE: I'M SORRY. OKAY.
- 11 Q. IN 1998, IN MINNESOTA, PHILIP MORRIS DID NOT
- 12 ADMIT THAT SMOKING CAUSED LUNG CANCER. DO YOU KNOW, AS YOU
- 13 SIT HERE RIGHT NOW, IF PHILIP MORRIS AGREES THAT SMOKING
- 14 CAUSES LUNG CANCER?
- 15 A. I BELIEVE THEY DO. IT SEEMS TO ME THERE WAS A
- 16 BIG DEAL WITH ABOUT WHAT THEY PUT ON THE INTERNET WHERE
- 17 THEY CAME OUT AND SAID IT'S THE CAUSE OF LUNG CANCER, SO I
- 18 THINK SO, YES.
- 19 Q. DID YOU STUMBLE ACROSS THAT ON THE INTERNET?
- 20 A. NO, I DIDN'T STUMBLE ACROSS THAT, I LOOKED IT
- 21 UP.
- 22 Q. WHEN DID YOU DO THAT?
- 23 A. I DON'T KNOW, SEVERAL MONTHS AGO, A NUMBER OF
- 24 MONTHS AGO.
- 25 Q. CERTAINLY BEFORE THIS TRIAL STARTED?
- 26 A. OH, YES.
- 27 Q. LET ME SHOW YOU SOMETHING, IF I COULD.
- 28 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
- 3940
- 1 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: ALTHOUGH THIS HAS BEEN
- 2 DISPLACED, I DON'T KNOW -- I DON'T THINK IT EVER GOT A
- 2 MILIMOLD
- 4 CAN I GET MY PEN BACK FROM YOU?
- 5 A. CERTAINLY.
- 6 MR. PIUZE: LET ME MAKE THIS, MR. CLERK, 10,010.
- 7 DOES THAT SOUND ABOUT RIGHT?
- 8 THE CLERK: 10,000 -- YEAH, THAT'S FINE.
- 9 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: IS THAT WHAT YOU SAW ON THE
- 10 INTERNET?
- 11 A. YOU KNOW, WEB PAGES CHANGE. I DON'T THINK
- 12 THAT THIS IS THE PARTICULAR THING I SAW ON THE INTERNET.
- 13 BUT THAT SOUNDS ABOUT RIGHT. YEAH, THAT SEEMS TO ME IS THE
- 14 GIST OF WHAT I SAW.
- 15 Q. ALL RIGHT.

- 16 THIS IS 2001. CIGARETTE SMOKING AND DISEASE
- 17 IN SMOKERS. WE AGREE WITH THE OVERWHELMING MEDICAL AND
- 18 SCIENTIFIC CONSENSUS THAT CIGARETTE SMOKING CAUSES LUNG
- 19 CANCER, HEART DISEASE, EMPHYSEMA AND OTHER SERIOUS DISEASES
- 20 IN SMOKERS.
- 21 NOW, YOU'VE KNOWN THAT FOR HOW LONG, SINCE YOU
- 22 WERE IN THE THIRD GRADE?
- 23 A. IT'S KIND OF A ROUGH ESTIMATE, BUT THAT --
- 24 YEAH, I THINK THAT'S ABOUT RIGHT.
- 25 Q. WHO WAS PRESIDENT? I DON'T WANT TO ASK YOUR
- 26 AGE. WHO WAS PRESIDENT?
- 27 A. I THINK THAT'S WHEN JOHN KENNEDY WAS
- 28 PRESIDENT. I'D HAVE TO GO BACK AND DOUBLE-CHECK THE YEARS 3941
- 1 OF MY THIRD GRADE EDUCATION.
- 2 Q. LET ME SHOW YOU AS 10,011, ALSO FROM THE
- 3 PHILIP MORRIS WEB SITE -- BUT THIS ONE IS DECEMBER 15TH,
- 4 1999.
- 5 AT THE END OF 1999 HAD PHILIP MORRIS,
- 6 ACCORDING TO ITS WEB SITE, DECIDED THAT CIGARETTE SMOKING
- 7 CAUSED LUNG CANCER?
- 8 A. WELL, IT'S THE WORDS I'M READING RIGHT NOW.
- 9 IT SAYS:
- 10 "SMOKERS ARE FAR MORE LIKELY TO
- 11 DEVELOP SERIOUS DISEASES LIKE LUNG
- 12 CANCER THAN NONSMOKERS. THERE IS NO
- 13 SAFE CIGARETTE."
- 14 THAT AGAIN, TO ME, SEEMS THE GIST OF WHAT THEY
- 15 ARE SAYING IS THAT THEY DO AGREE.
- 16 Q. YOU SORT OF STARTED IN THE MIDDLE SOMEPLACE.
- 17 READ IT FROM THE BEGINNING.
- 18 A. YOU GOT ME AT THE POINT WHERE I HAD GOTTEN IN
- 19 MY READING.
- 20 IT SAYS:
- 21 "CIGARETTE SMOKING, HEALTH ISSUES
- 22 FOR SMOKERS. CIGARETTE SMOKING AND
- 23 DISEASE IN SMOKERS. THERE IS AN
- 24 OVERWHELMING MEDICAL AND SCIENTIFIC
- 25 CONSENSUS THAT CIGARETTE SMOKING
- 26 CAUSES LUNG CANCER, HEART DISEASE,
- 27 EMPHYSEMA AND OTHER SERIOUS DISEASES
- 28 IN SMOKERS. SMOKERS ARE FAR MORE 3942
- 1 LIKELY TO DEVELOP SERIOUS DISEASES
- 2 LIKE LUNG CANCER THAN NONSMOKERS.
- 3 THERE IS NO SAFE CIGARETTE. THESE
- 4 ARE AND HAVE BEEN THE METHODS OF
- 5 PUBLIC HEALTH AUTHORITIES WORLDWIDE.
- 6 SMOKERS AND POTENTIAL SMOKERS SHOULD
- 7 RELY ON THESE METHODS IN MAKING ALL
- 8 SMOKING-RELATED DECISIONS."
- 9 IS THAT FAR ENOUGH?
- 10 Q. YES. SO HERE IS 10 AND 11.
- 11 THIS IS THE END OF 1999, THE VERY, VERY, VERY
- 12 END OF 1999, AND THIS IS 2001. THEY ARE A YEAR APART.
- 13 WHAT'S CHANGED?
- 14 A. WELL, HONESTLY, THE WEB PAGES CHANGE. THEY
- 15 BOTH SAY THERE IS NO SAFE CIGARETTE.
- 16 Q. LET ME LEAD YOU. SEE WHERE IT SAYS "WE
- 17 AGREE"?
- 18 A. YES. SURE. I CAN START WITH THE WORDS "WE
- 19 AGREE" ON THIS WEB SITE.
- 20 Q. SO IN 2001, NOW -- YOUR HISTORY STARTED IN

- 21 1942, BUT YOUR REAL HISTORY STARTED IN 1950. 51 YEARS
- 22 AFTER YOUR HISTORY STARTED PHILIP MORRIS AGREES THAT
- 23 SMOKING CAUSES LUNG CANCER; RIGHT?
- 24 A. SIR, I DISAGREE WITH YOU, BECAUSE IF I WAS TO
- 25 READ THESE, I THINK THIS USES THE WORDS "WE AGREE," BUT THE
- 26 ONE BEFORE IS THE SAME THING. IT DOESN'T USE THAT WORD,
- 27 BUT IT SAYS, "THERE IS OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE THERE IS NO
- 28 SAFE CIGARETTE."

- 1 IF I WERE LOOKING AT THIS WEB SITE, I WOULD
- 2 SAY, 1999 THEY WERE SAYING IT'S BAD FOR YOU, IT CAUSES LUNG
- 3 CANCER. SO THAT'S HOW I WOULD READ THAT.
- 4 Q. SO LET'S GO WITH THAT. IN 1999 THERE WAS
- 5 OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE; RIGHT?
- 6 A. YES, THAT'S WHAT IT SAYS.
- 7 Q. IN 1998 THERE WAS OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE?
- 8 A. I'M SORRY, I DON'T UNDERSTAND YOUR QUESTION.
- 9 Q. I'M GOING WITH YOU. YOU SAY THERE WAS
- 10 OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE THAT SMOKING CAUSED LUNG CANCER AND
- 11 OTHER SERIOUS DISEASES. I AGREE WITH YOU. 1999,
- 12 DECEMBER.
- 13 NOW I'M ASKING YOU, ISN'T THE SAME TRUE OF
- 14 1998?
- 15 A. I THINK THE SAME IS TRUE OF ALL OF THE YEARS
- 16 IN THE PERIOD THAT I'VE BEEN LOOKING AT, THAT THERE WAS
- 17 OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE AND A SCIENTIFIC CONSENSUS ON THAT,
- 18 AND THAT THE PUBLIC HEARD THAT CONSENSUS AND WAS INFLUENCED
- 19 DEEPLY BY IT.
- 20 Q. LET'S STAY WITH 1998 FOR A MINUTE.
- 21 A. OKAY.
- 22 Q. BECAUSE IF YOU THINK THEY ARE ADMITTING
- 23 SOMETHING HERE, I DON'T SEE THE WORDS -- IN 1998 WHEN THERE
- 24 WAS ALSO AN OVERWHELMING SCIENTIFIC CONSENSUS, THAT'S THE
- 25 VERY YEAR THAT THE CHAIRMAN, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER OF THE
- 26 CORPORATION, TESTIFIED UNDER OATH IN MINNESOTA THAT HE
- 27 DIDN'T THINK ONE PERSON HAD EVER BEEN KILLED FROM TOBACCO;
- 28 RIGHT?

- 1 MR. LEITER: OBJECTION. THIS IS ARGUMENT.
- 2 THE COURT: OVERRULED.
- 3 THE WITNESS: IF YOU'RE REFERRING TO THAT BIBLE,
- 4 THAT'S THE MINNESOTA ONE, YES, THAT SOUNDS LIKE THAT WAS
- 5 THE TESTIMONY HE WAS GIVING, THAT HE DID NOT BELIEVE THAT
- 6 IT WOULD KILL PEOPLE.
- 7 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: THE ONLY POINT I'M TRYING TO
- 8 MAKE HERE IS 1998 AND 1999 AND 2000, OF COURSE, THERE WAS
- 9 OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE THAT PHILIP MORRIS DIDN'T ADMIT
- 10 ANYTHING IN 1998, DID IT?
- 11 A. NOT IN THAT TESTIMONY OF MR. BIBLE, CERTAINLY
- 12 NOT.
- 13 Q. WELL, THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, THE BOSS,
- 14 DIDN'T ADMIT A THING, DID HE?
- 15 A. I DON'T THINK SO.
- 16 Q. SO NOW -- THANK YOU.
- 17 WHAT CHANGED? WHAT NEW SCIENTIFIC FINDS HAVE
- 18 COME ALONG? WHAT NEW MEDICAL STUDIES HAVE COME ALONG?
- 19 WHAT NEWS FLASH HAS COME ALONG, SO THAT IN THE YEAR 2001
- 20 NOW, PHILIP MORRIS NOW ADMITS THAT SMOKING CAUSES LUNG
- 21 CANCER?
- 22 MR. LEITER: OBJECTION. THIS IS ARGUMENTATIVE.
- 23 SHE'S NOT HERE AS A SCIENTIFIC WITNESS.
- 24 THE COURT: HISTORICALLY HAVE THERE BEEN ANY
- 25 HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENTS?

- 26 THE WITNESS: NO, I DON'T THINK THERE HAVE BEEN ANY
- 27 MAJOR HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENTS OTHER THAN WHAT YOU'VE BEEN
- 28 TALKING, THE CUMULATIVE ANGER THAT PEOPLE HAD TOWARDS THE 3945
- 1 TOBACCO INDUSTRY, WHICH IS BEING EXPRESSED A LOT IN THE
- 2 PERIOD 1980 TO 1994.
- 3 SO I DON'T KNOW THEIR INTENT. I DON'T KNOW
- 4 WHY THEY DECIDED AT THIS MOMENT TO REVERSE THE STAND THAT
- 5 THEY HAD TAKEN PREVIOUSLY. BUT IT DOESN'T SEEM TO ME IT
- 6 WAS FROM ANY DIFFERENT MEDICAL EVIDENCE FROM THE PERIOD.
- 7 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: RIGHT.
- 8 SO DO YOU HAVE AN OPINION THAT PERHAPS NOW, 51
- 9 YEARS AFTER YOUR TIME LINE STARTED IN EARNEST, WHEN PHILIP
- 10 MORRIS ADMITS SMOKING CAUSES LUNG CANCER AND NOTHING HAS
- 11 CHANGED, THAT THIS IS SIMPLY A MARKETING DEVICE?
- 12 MR. LEITER: OBJECTION, CALLS FOR SPECULATION AND
- 13 IT'S ARGUMENT.
- 14 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
- 15 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: DO YOU THINK THAT THIS IS MEANT
- 16 TO INFLUENCE JURIES?
- 17 MR. LEITER: OBJECTION, CALLS FOR SPECULATION.
- 18 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
- 19 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: DO YOU THINK THIS IS MEANT TO
- 20 INFLUENCE PUBLIC OPINION?
- 21 MR. LEITER: OBJECTION, CALLS FOR PUBLIC SPECULATION
- 22 AS TO WHAT THEY INTENDED.
- 23 THE COURT: OVERRULED. OVERRULED.
- 24 THE WITNESS: WELL, IT IS DIFFICULT TO KNOW WHAT
- 25 THEIR STRATEGY IS. I DON'T KNOW. I DIDN'T STUDY THE
- 26 COMPANIES AND LOOK AT THEM, PER SE.
- 27 I WAS LOOKING AT WHAT PEOPLE WOULD KNOW. AND
- 28 AS I DEFINED MY QUESTION, WHAT I REALLY WANTED TO KNOW 3946
- 1 COMMONLY WAS KNOWN BY THE AVERAGE PERSON.
- 2 WILL THIS SWAY PUBLIC OPINION? DO THEY INTEND
- 3 IT TO SWAY PUBLIC OPINION? I THINK THAT IT'S CERTAINLY A
- 4 PART OF PUBLIC RELATIONS IN A SENSE THAT THEY ARE TELLING
- 5 PEOPLE THEIR POSITION, RIGHT, AND SO YOU DO THAT, PEOPLE
- 6 WILL KNOW YOUR POSITION, AND I THINK THEY HAVE BEEN A VERY
- 7 VILIFIED INDUSTRY. THERE HAS BEEN A LOT OF HATE GENERATED,
- 8 IN PART BY THESE CAMPAIGNS.
- 9 THE ONE I ALWAYS REMEMBER, BECAUSE IT WAS
- 10 RIGHT NEXT TO WHEN I WOULD DRIVE TO WORK, IS A PICTURE OF A
- 11 COFFIN. "THEY ARE MAKING A KILLING OFF YOU."
- 12 SO THIS WAS A VERY DELIBERATE AND IMPORTANT
- 13 CAMPAIGN IN CALIFORNIA, WHICH WAS WIDELY APPLAUDED BECAUSE
- 14 IT GOT THE SMOKING RATE TO GO DOWN.
- 15 SO IS THIS A RESPONSE TO THAT CAMPAIGN OF
- 16 THEIRS? IT SEEMS LIKE LOGICALLY IT COULD BE, WOULD BE.
- 17 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: YOU DON'T HAVE ANY SCIENTIFIC
- 18 DEGREES, DO YOU?
- 19 A. NO, I DON'T.
- 20 Q. YOU DON'T HAVE ANY MEDICAL DEGREES, DO YOU?
- 21 A. NO, I DON'T.
- 22 Q. YOU FIGURED OUT IN THE THIRD GRADE THAT
- 23 SMOKING WAS BAD FOR YOU; RIGHT?
- 24 A. I DIDN'T FIGURE IT OUT; I WAS TOLD THAT.
- 25 Q. WHY DO YOU THINK, HISTORICALLY SPEAKING -- NO
- 26 KIDDING -- WHY DO YOU THINK AFTER LOOKING AT THE
- 27 INFORMATION THAT YOU'VE LOOKED AT, IT TOOK PHILIP MORRIS 51
- 28 YEARS TO FIGURE THIS OUT?
- 394
- 1 MR. LEITER: OBJECTION. IT'S ARGUMENT AND IT CALLS

- 2 FOR SPECULATION.
- 3 THE COURT: OVERRULED.
- 4 HISTORICALLY, IF YOU HAVE AN ANSWER.
- 5 THE WITNESS: YOUR HONOR, I DON'T, BECAUSE THE WAY
- 6 THE QUESTION IS PHRASED IT MAKES ME THINK I WOULD HAVE TO
- 7 KNOW WHAT THEIR COMPANY THINKING WAS AND STRATEGY WAS AS
- 8 THEY WERE LEARNING THIS INFORMATION THAT EVERYBODY KNEW,
- 9 AND WHY THEY CHOSE AT THIS MOMENT TO COME OUT ON IT, I
- 10 DON'T KNOW.
- 11 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: THANK YOU.
- 12 THIS IS YOUR FIRST TIME TESTIFYING; RIGHT?
- 13 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 14 Q. YOU'VE BEEN GIVEN AN EXTENSIVE BRIEFING ABOUT
- 15 THIS; CORRECT?
- 16 A. I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU MEAN.
- 17 Q. WHAT'S THE NAME OF THE BLONDE LADY?
- 18 A. HER NAME IS JULIA TYLER.
- 19 Q. IS SHE A LAWYER FROM WASHINGTON, D.C.?
- 20 A. YES, SHE IS.
- 21 Q. HOW MANY TIMES HAVE YOU MET WITH JULIA TYLER?
- 22 A. I'VE SEEN HER OFF AND ON FOR A PERIOD OF A
- 23 YEAR AND A HALF, SO I DON'T KNOW, 10 TIMES MAYBE.
- 24 Q. HOW MANY HOURS HAVE YOU SPENT WITH HER IN THE
- 25 LAST YEAR?
- 26 A. IN THE LAST WEEK -- I WAS HERE YESTERDAY
- 27 BECAUSE I WAS ASKED TO BE UP HERE YESTERDAY, AND SO I SAW
- 28 HER ALL DAY YESTERDAY.
- 3948
- 1 WELL, HALF THE DAY YESTERDAY BECAUSE I GOT
- 2 HERE ON THE TRAIN AT NOON.
- 3 Q. DID YOU DISCUSS WHAT I MIGHT BE ASKING YOU?
- 4 A. YES, WE DISCUSSED IT A LITTLE BIT.
- 5 Q. DID YOU DISCUSS HOW TO TESTIFY?
- 6 A. NO.
- 7 Q. YOU'VE BEEN TOLD WHAT A HYPOTHETICAL QUESTION
- 8 IS?
- 9 A. I KNOW WHAT A HYPOTHETICAL QUESTION IS.
- 10 Q. WHAT IS THAT?
- 11 A. IT'S A QUESTION WHERE YOU SAY IF SOMETHING IS
- 12 THIS WAY, THEN WHAT? AND OF COURSE THE "IF SOMETHING IS
- 13 THIS WAY" IS UNPROVEN, SO THAT'S ALWAYS THE PROBLEM WITH A
- 14 HYPOTHETICAL QUESTION, WHICH HISTORIANS, BY THE WAY,
- 15 GENERALLY TEND TO AVOID. THEY LIKE TO LOOK AT WHAT
- 16 HAPPENED RATHER THAN WHAT MIGHT HAVE HAPPENED.
- 17 Q. WE KIND OF LIKE TO TRY TO DO THAT IN COURT,
- 18 TOO. IT'S MY OBLIGATION TO ASK A HYPOTHETICAL QUESTION
- 19 THAT I THINK I CAN PROVE THE "IF," OKAY?
- 20 SO HERE IS THE HYPOTHETICAL QUESTION. WHAT
- 21 IF -- I'D JUST LIKE YOU TO ASSUME THIS TO BE TRUE.
- 22 A. OKAY.
- 23 Q. WHAT IF IN THE EARLY 1950'S INFORMATION CAME
- 24 OUT THAT CIGARETTES CAUSED LUNG CANCER? SO I THINK I CAN
- 25 PROVE THAT PART, BECAUSE YOU AGREE INFORMATION CAME OUT
- 26 THAT CIGARETTES CAUSED LUNG CANCER; RIGHT?
- 27 A. YEAH, THAT'S THE EASY PART.
- 28 Q. AND WHAT IF THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY WAS A 3949
- 1 MULTIBILLION DOLLAR INDUSTRY, AND THAT IF TOBACCO REALLY
- 2 CAUSED LUNG CANCER, THAT THEY, THE INDUSTRY -- IT MIGHT
- 3 HAVE A MAJOR FINANCIAL PROBLEM ON ITS HAND?
- 4 THAT'S AN "IF." CAN YOU ASSUME THAT TO BE
- 5 TRUE?
- 6 A. YES.

- 7 Q. WHAT IF THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY, RATHER THAN
- 8 WITHDRAWING ITS PRODUCT FROM THE MARKET, OR RATHER THAN
- 9 TESTING ITS PRODUCT TO SEE WHETHER OR NOT IT CAUSED CANCER,
- 10 DECIDED, INSTEAD, ON A PUBLIC RELATIONS CAMPAIGN TO START
- 11 AT THE BEGINNING OF 1954, THROUGH SOMETHING CALLED THE
- 12 T.I.R.C. -- I'D ASK YOU TO ASSUME ALL OF THAT TO BE TRUE.
- 13 WILL YOU?
- 14 A. ONLY BECAUSE YOU ASK ME TO. THERE IS ONE PART
- 15 OF IT THAT I DIDN'T AGREE WITH.
- 16 MR. LEITER: I'LL OBJECT TO ALL OF THIS AS ARGUMENT
- 17 AND BEYOND THE SCOPE OF HER TESTIMONY.
- 18 THE COURT: IT IS A HYPOTHETICAL QUESTION. IT'S NOT
- 19 ARGUMENT.
- 20 OVERRULED.
- 21 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: AND WHAT IF FROM THEIR TRADE
- 22 ORGANIZATION -- "THEIR" MEANING THE WHOLE INDUSTRY OF
- 23 PHILIP MORRIS, AS ONE OF THE MAJOR PLACES, RIGHT OFF OF
- 24 THEIR OWN PEN, RIGHT ON THEIR OWN DOCKET, THEY SAID IN
- 25 1972, EIGHT YEARS AFTER THE DANGER HAD BEEN ESTABLISHED --
- 26 ACCORDING TO YOU -- WHAT IF THEY SAID, "FOR NEARLY 20 YEARS
- 27 THIS INDUSTRY HAS EMPLOYED A SINGLE STRATEGY TO DEFEND
- 28 ITSELF ON THREE MAJOR FRONTS: LITIGATION, POLITICS, AND 3950
- 1 PUBLIC OPINION? WHILE THIS STRATEGY WAS BRILLIANTLY
- 2 CONCEIVED AND EXECUTED OVER THE YEARS, HELPING US TO WIN
- 3 IMPORTANT BATTLES, IT IS ONLY FAIR TO SAY THAT IT IS NOT,
- 4 NOR WAS IT INTENDED TO BE A VEHICLE FOR VICTORY. ON THE
- 5 CONTRARY, IT HAS ALWAYS BEEN A HOLDING STRATEGY CONSISTING
- 6 OF CREATING DOUBT ABOUT THE HEALTH CHARGE WITHOUT ACTUALLY
- 7 DENYING IT."
- 8 I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO ASSUME THAT TRADE
- 9 ORGANIZATION WROTE THAT DOCUMENT. IT'S IN EVIDENCE HERE.
- 10 JUST ASSUME THAT, OKAY?
- 11 HERE IS THE QUESTION, HISTORICALLY.
- 12 A MULTIBILLION DOLLAR CORPORATION IS FACED
- 13 WITH POTENTIAL FINANCIAL DISASTER, AND WHAT IT DOES IS
- 14 CREATE A TRADE ORGANIZATION TO CREATE DOUBT ABOUT THE
- 15 HEALTH ISSUE, HISTORICALLY SPEAKING -- BECAUSE I KNOW
- 16 YOU'VE DONE HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVES ON ROCKEFELLER, AMONG
- 17 OTHERS, WHAT WAS ITS MOTIVATION TO CREATE DOUBT ABOUT THE
- 18 HEALTH ISSUE?
- 19 MR. LEITER: OBJECTION, CALLS FOR SPECULATION.
- 20 THE COURT: FROM A HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE, IF YOU
- 21 HAVE AN ANSWER.
- 22 THE WITNESS: WHAT WAS ITS MOTIVATION TO CREATE
- 23 DOUBT? I THINK THAT ITS MOTIVATION -- IT SEEMED TO BE
- 24 STATED IN THE DOCUMENTS -- MAYBE IT WAS ONE OF YOUR
- 25 HYPOTHETICALS -- WAS THAT THIS WOULD HAVE MAJOR FINANCIAL
- 26 IMPLICATIONS IF THE INDUSTRY WAS COMPLETELY SHUT DOWN, JUST
- 27 LIKE IT DID FOR THE ALCOHOL INDUSTRY WHEN IT WAS COMPLETELY
- 28 SHUT DOWN IN THE '20S.
- 3951
- 1 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: THE MOTIVATION OF THE TOBACCO
- 2 INDUSTRY, THROUGH ITS TRADE ORGANIZATION, WAS TO CONTINUE
- 3 TO MAKE BILLIONS UPON BILLIONS OF DOLLARS WHILE SOWING THE
- 4 SEEDS OF DOUBT IN THE MINDS OF THE VERY PEOPLE THAT WERE
- 5 SUCKING IN THAT POISON; RIGHT?
- 6 MR. LEITER: OBJECTION, IT'S ARGUMENTATIVE AND IT
- 7 CALLS FOR SPECULATION.
- 8 THE COURT: OVERRULED. SHE HAS TESTIFIED TO THE
- 9 HISTORY OF THIS PERIOD, AND SHE MAY BE CROSS-EXAMINED ON
- 11 THE WITNESS: WELL, YOU'RE SAYING IT WAS THEIR

- 12 INTENT. I DON'T THINK IT WAS THEIR EFFECT.
- 13 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: I'M ASKING ABOUT THEIR
- 14 MOTIVATION. WHY DO YOU THINK THEY DID THAT?
- 15 A. WELL, YOU KNOW, I THINK I'VE GOTTEN AS CLOSE
- 16 TO IT AS I CAN, NOT KNOWING THOSE PEOPLE, NOT KNOWING THEIR
- 17 DOCUMENTS. IT SEEMS TO ME THAT'S WHAT'S ON THE SURFACE OF
- 18 IT. YOU'VE READ IT AND YOU'VE SAID THAT THIS WAS A MAJOR
- 19 INDUSTRY. THEY WERE UNDER ATTACK, AND THEY SOUGHT TO
- 20 CREATE DOUBT ABOUT THE PRODUCT FOR THE REASONS THAT IT
- 20 CREATE DOUBT ABOUT THE PRODUCT FOR THE REASONS
- 21 WOULD HARM THEIR INDUSTRY.
 22 BUT I THINK I'VE SAID THAT, AND I HONESTLY
- 23 DON'T KNOW IF I CAN GO ANY FARTHER THAN THAT BASED ON MY
- 24 KNOWLEDGE.
- 25 Q. THAT'S OKAY. SO HISTORICALLY SPEAKING, HAVE
- 26 YOU EVER HEARD THE NUMBER 400,000 MENTIONED IN REGARD TO
- 27 ANY HEALTH ISSUES REGARDING TOBACCO?
- 28 A. YES, I HAVE.

- 1 Q. IN WHAT CONTEXT?
- 2 A. WELL, I SAW IT ON A BILLBOARD.
- 3 Q. WHAT DID IT SAY?
- 4 A. ACTUALLY, I THINK THE NUMBER THEY USED ON THE
- 5 BILLBOARD WAS 500,000. I WAS JUST DRIVING DOWN THE
- 6 FREEWAY: 500,000 PEOPLE HAVE DIED FROM SMOKING TOBACCO,
- 7 SOMETHING LIKE THAT.
- 8 Q. WHEN?
- 9 A. MY DAUGHTER WAS IN GYMNASTICS. THIS IS ABOUT
- 10 THREE YEARS AGO. I'M GOING TO GUESS IT WAS MAYBE 1998.
- 11 Q. BAD QUESTION. 500,000 PEOPLE DIED, WHEN?
- 12 EVER? IN A DAY? IN A YEAR? WHEN?
- 13 A. NO, THEY WERE SAYING ANNUALLY.
- 14 Q. LET ME GO WITH 400,000. THAT'S THE EVIDENCE
- 15 WE'VE HEARD HERE IN THE COURTROOM, OKAY?
- 16 A. OKAY.
- 17 Q. IF 400,000 PEOPLE DIED EVERY YEAR -- THAT'S
- 18 ROUND NUMBERS -- I'M NOT SAYING IT'S THE SAME EVERY YEAR.
- 19 I'M NOT SAYING IT'S A FLAT NUMBER THAT NEVER CHANGED.
- 20 BUT IF 400,000 PEOPLE DIED EVERY SINGLE YEAR
- 21 IN THIS COUNTRY FROM CIGARETTE-RELATED DISEASES, YOU'RE
- 22 TELLING US FROM YOUR RESEARCH IT WAS A DONE DEAL IN 1964,
- 23 WE'RE TALKING -- IF THAT'S RIGHT, 1964 -- WE'RE TALKING
- 24 SOMETHING LIKE 13, 15 MILLION PEOPLE DIED DURING THAT
- 25 PERIOD.
- 26 AND AFTER DOING THE MATH, HERE'S MY QUESTION:
- 27 WHILE THEY WERE GUARDING THEIR MONEY-MAKING MACHINE,
- 28 HISTORICALLY SPEAKING, WHAT HAPPENED TO THEIR CUSTOMERS?
- 1 MR. LEITER: OBJECTION, THIS IS ARGUMENT.
- 2 THE COURT: OVERRULED.
- 3 THE WITNESS: THEIR CUSTOMERS WERE QUITTING. THE
- 4 MAJORITY OF THEM QUIT. NOT EVERYBODY DID IN THE SAME WAY
- 5 THAT PEOPLE KNOW THAT DRINKING IS BAD FOR THEM, THAT THEY
- 6 SHOULD NOT DRINK AND DRIVE, THAT DRIVING YOUR CAR TOO FAST
- 7 IS BAD FOR YOU AND PEOPLE TAKE THOSE RISKS AND THEY ARE NOT
- 8 GOOD RISKS TO TAKE.
- 9 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: HISTORICALLY SPEAKING THAT'S
- 10 YOUR ANSWER?
- 11 A. WELL, YES. YES, PEOPLE TOOK RISKS AND PEOPLE
- 12 DIED FROM TAKING RISKS.
- 13 Q. HOW ABOUT THIS FOR PART OF THE ANSWER:
- 14 400,000 PEOPLE A YEAR STOPPED WHEN THEY GOT NAILED INTO A
- 15 COFFIN:
- 16 MR. LEITER: OBJECTION. IT'S ARGUMENT.

- 17 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
- 18 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: IT IS TRUE THAT THE TOBACCO
- 19 INDUSTRY LOST 13 TO 15 MILLION CUSTOMERS IN THAT PERIOD
- 20 JUST BECAUSE THEY ALL DIED?
- 21 MR. LEITER: OBJECTION, MISSTATES THE EVIDENCE.
- 22 THE COURT: OVERRULED.
- 23 DO YOU KNOW THAT?
- 24 THE WITNESS: I UNDERSTAND THAT THAT 50 MILLION HAD
- 25 QUIT, IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE LOOKING FOR? IN WHICH PERIOD OF
- 26 TIME? I GUESS I'M NOT QUITE UNDERSTANDING WHAT THAT
- 27 QUESTION IS.
- 28 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: I DIDN'T USE "QUIT." YOU KNOW 3954
- 1 I'M NOT ASKING "QUIT."
- 2 I'M ASKING HOW MANY DO YOU THINK DIED DURING
- 3 THE PERIOD 1964 TO THE PRESENT WHILE PHILIP MORRIS AND ITS
- 4 COHORTS WERE GUARDING THEIR MONEY-MAKING MACHINE?
- 5 MR. LEITER: OBJECTION, ARGUMENTATIVE.
- 6 THE COURT: OVERRULED.
- 7 THE WITNESS: WELL, YOU'VE GIVEN ME THE FIGURE -- A
- 8 NUMBER THAT I THINK -- I DON'T KNOW IF IT'S ACCURATE OR
- 9 NOT, AND PERHAPS IT IS.
- 10 AND THEN THAT'S -- THE ANSWER TO THE QUESTION
- 11 IS THE ANSWER YOU JUST GAVE ME; RIGHT? ISN'T THAT WHAT
- 12 YOU'RE LOOKING FOR?
- 13 Q. BY MR. PIUZE: YOUR NUMBER WAS BIGGER THAN
- 14 MINE. IT WAS A HALF A MILLION A YEAR.
- 15 AND I'M GOING WITH THE TESTIMONY WE HEARD
- 16 HERE, 400,000 A YEAR.
- 17 A. RIGHT. AND THOSE WERE TOBACCO RELATED, AND I
- 18 JUST -- I'M NOT A DOCTOR, SO I CAN'T TESTIFY TO, NO, OKAY,
- 19 WHICH PEOPLE DIED FROM HEART DISEASE AND WHICH PEOPLE DIED
- 20 FROM THIS AND FROM THAT. I JUST DON'T REALLY KNOW THOSE
- 21 FIGURES. THEY WERE NOT A PART OF MY QUESTION.
- 22 Q. I'M NOT ASKING THAT.
- 23 MR. PIUZE: HERE'S WHERE I'LL FINISH UP, YOUR HONOR.
- 24 I'LL FINISH RIGHT ABOUT HERE.
- 25 Q. YOU TALKED TO THE JURY SOMEWHAT ABOUT THE
- 26 CONSUMER MOVEMENT IN THE '60S; RIGHT?
- 27 A. YES, I DID.
- 28 Q. DO YOU THINK, TAKING A LITTLE RETROSPECTIVE 3955
- 1 LOOK HERE, THAT THE ABSOLUTE LAST ORGANIZATION ON THE
- 2 CONSUMER BANDWAGON, OUT OF THE ENTIRE SPECTRUM OF OUR
- 3 SOCIETY, WAS THE DEFENDANT IN THIS LAWSUIT, PHILIP MORRIS?
- 4 A. YOU KNOW, I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT.
- 5 THERE ARE A LOT OF COMPANIES.
- 6 YOU SAID THE CONSUMER BANDWAGON. YOU KNOW,
- 7 ARE THERE OTHER COMPANIES WHICH, YOU KNOW, HAVE ISSUES WITH
- 8 THEIR PRODUCTS AND THEY HAVEN'T SAID, YES, THERE ARE
- 9 PROBLEMS WITH THIS PRODUCT?
- 10 I JUST HEARD SOMETHING ABOUT HIGH CHAIRS THE
- 11 OTHER DAY, THAT ONE COMPANY IS BEING BROUGHT UP ON THEIR
- 12 HIGH CHAIR RECALLS. I THINK IT'S SORT OF A RHETORICAL
- 13 QUESTION.
- 14 Q. 13-AND-A-HALF MILLION KIDS GOT KILLED IN HIGH
- 15 CHAIRS.
- 16 A. I DON'T KNOW THE NUMBERS.
- 17 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THAT'S ENOUGH FOR THE DAY.
- 18 ALL RIGHT, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, WE'LL SEE YOU
- 19 ON MONDAY AFTERNOON AT 1:30. DON'T DISCUSS THE CASE WITH
- 20 ANYONE.

```
22 (AT 4:05 P.M., AN EVENING ADJOURNMENT
23 WAS TAKEN UNTIL FRIDAY, APRIL 27, 2001
24 AT 1:30 P.M.)
25
26
27
28
3956
1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3 DEPARTMENT NO. 308 HON. CHARLES W. MC COY, JR., JUDGE
5
RICHARD BOEKEN, )
6)
PLAINTIFF, )
7)
VS. ) CASE NO.
8 ) BC226593
PHILIP MORRIS, )
9 )
DEFENDANT. )
10
11
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
13 STATE OF CALIFORNIA )
) SS.
14 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES )
16 I, CARMEN J. GARROD, CSR NO. 4009, OFFICIAL COURT
17 REPORTER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
18 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE
19 FOREGOING PAGES COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT
20 TRANSCRIPTION OF THE PROCEEDINGS HELD IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED
21 MATTER ON APRIL 26, 2001.
23 DATED THIS 26TH DAY OF APRIL 2001.
24
25
26
                       CSR NO. 4009
27
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
28
```